

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJESS_117802
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration of University Students' Self-Determination Ability: from Spiritual Learning Perspective
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is significant as it explores the intersection of spiritual learning and self-determination among university students, an area with potential implications for educational strategies and student development. The integration of spirituality with self-determination theory (SDT) offers a novel perspective that could contribute to the broader understanding of student motivation and well-being.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable but could be refined for clarity. Suggested alternative: "Examining University Students' Self-Determination through the Lens of Spiritual Learning."</p> <p>3. The abstract effectively summarizes the study's objectives, methodology, and findings. However, it could benefit from clearer structure and more concise language.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's structure is logical, with clearly defined sections for literature review, methodology, results, and discussion. Each subsection appropriately supports the overall narrative.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, with a robust theoretical framework and appropriate research methods. However, more detailed statistical analysis could strengthen the findings.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. They include a mix of foundational theories and contemporary studies relevant to the topic. Adding more recent studies on the impact of spirituality in educational settings could enhance the literature review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication. Some minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings need correction to improve readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study provides a fresh perspective by linking spirituality with self-determination, highlighting the importance of holistic education approaches. Further exploration of cultural differences in spiritual learning could add depth to the study.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammet Irfan Kurudirek
Department, University & Country	Artvin Coruh University, Türkiye