

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_117456
Title of the Manuscript:	WORLDWIDE WHEAT DISEASES THEIR CURRENT STATUS AND MODE OF RESISTANCE: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes., But information is not complete., Its in confusing mode</p> <p>No., "Enhancing Wheat Resilience: A Review of Disease and Pest Resistance Strategies"</p> <p>No.,</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>While the text provides a detailed overview of wheat production challenges and disease dynamics, there are several language and grammar issues that would need to be addressed for it to be suitable for scholarly communications. Here are some areas of improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity and Coherence: Some sentences are overly complex and difficult to follow, which could impede comprehension for readers. Simplifying the language and organizing the information into clearer, more concise paragraphs would enhance readability. Grammar and Syntax: There are numerous grammatical errors, including incorrect verb tenses, punctuation issues, and unclear phrasing. Proofreading for grammar and syntax errors is essential to maintain the credibility and professionalism of scholarly communications. Consistency and Accuracy: Ensure consistency in terminology and accuracy in factual information throughout the text. Avoid ambiguity or vague statements that could lead to misunderstandings. Academic Tone: Maintain a formal and objective tone appropriate for scholarly writing. Avoid colloquial language or overly informal expressions. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gangadhara Doggali
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India