

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJACR_117869
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF CANNABIS SATIVA LEAF ON THE OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS IN THE BRAIN OF MALE WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The work is considered as a trial in the series for these compound which is good.</p> <p>But there are some comments that should be regarded:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need some arrangement.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The paper is well written in certain parts but there are some comments that I consider them as major comments and need correction.</p> <p>2. Animal Sacrifice and Tissue Collection Technique section:</p> <p>3. Needs grammatical correction.</p> <p>4. List of abbreviations is absent</p> <p>5. Part of the results is shown within the introduction section and needs to be rearranged with results section.</p> <p>6. No minor details about the tests mentioned in the abstract for testing animals.</p> <p>7. Conclusion needs to be re-written.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments Major comments</p>	<p>1. The method of extraction and the dose given is not calculated or mentioned in the material and method section.</p> <p>2. The oxidative stress parameters mentioned MDA, SOD, and catalase: Only MDA results are shown where are the others?</p> <p>3. Where are other parameters tables? Only table 1 is shown.</p> <p>4. Results of the LD50 experiment are not shown.</p> <p>5. What about the recovery groups results?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mostafa Mourad Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Minia University, Egypt