

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ACRI_118002
Title of the Manuscript:	IS THERE A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PERIODONTAL DISEASE AND ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE? AN INTEGRATIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript holds importance for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the potential link between periodontal disease and Alzheimer's disease.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. p3, Results section, line 4 Correct the number of articles removed after analysing titles and abstracts.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors selected 63 articles from 2021 to 2023. These are shown in Table 1 in alphabetical order of the first authors' family names. Among them, 40 studies were sorts of reviews, which likely include some common articles and therefor possibly reached similar conclusions. Did the authors examine the extent to which the review articles included common articles? If so, please describe the results of the examination and provide a description of your thoughts on the results in the results section.</p> <p>The articles in Table 1 should be ordered by methodology, starting with experimental studies, followed by cohort studies, systematic reviews, and narrative reviews. This can help deepen understanding.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuko Ohara-Nemoto
Department, University & Country	Nagasaki University, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Japan