

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_JGEESI_117835</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Rainfall distribution in the Brazilian Amazon: application of the variogram function to time series</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaligeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This manuscript worthwhile for the scientific community which can provide the base line information for further studies and for the guidance of decision-makers.</li><li>2. To make the abstract of the study more comprehensive;<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Introduction</li><li>• Main conclusion and policy implications of the study</li></ul></li><li>3. Check abstract in a comprehensive manner.</li><li>4. Sections and sub sections of the manuscript are appropriate but need to be check carefully.</li><li>5. Though structure of the manuscript is acceptable.</li><li>6. Addition of some more and recent references will enhance the worth of this piece of research work.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Although language is acceptable, in some sections it needs minor revision.</li><li>2. While introducing study area, inclusion of study area map can be beneficial for the reader.</li><li>3. Discussion needs to be made more rich and sound.</li><li>4. Conclusion needs to be made more comprehensive in the light of objectives and results of the study.</li><li>5. Check all references and arrange systematic.</li><li>6. Grammar and language must be check and revised</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The overall work is good enough to be accepted for publication.</li><li>2. Follow journal guidelines.</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anurag Patel
Department, University & Country	ICAR- Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Bhopal, India