

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_117730
Title of the Manuscript:	Alleviating abiotic stress, enhancing soil fertility, and growth of forest tree species by sustainable application of PGPR-A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but it needs much clarification in terms of the role of PGPR on soil fertility which is fully absent, not mentioning any particular families or species of forest tree growth improvement by PGPR, PGPR-mediated drought and salt tolerance mechanisms not much elaborated, the subsections on alleviating stress are very short.</p> <p>Title is not attractive and suitable, suggested title- Alleviation of abiotic stress and plant growth promotion of forest tress by application of PGPR-A review</p> <p>It should be modified according to the title.</p> <p>No, should be modified.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, less references, more data should be mentioned on the text.</p> <p>Some error on reference formatting on text already mentioned, conclusion should be in a single paragraph and concise. So please, rewrite it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, it should be improved with meaningful sentences and correcting grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Not discussed much case studies.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemanta Kumar Mondal
Department, University & Country	The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, India