

GROWTH AND YIELD OF TOMATO (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill) GUSTAVI VARIETY APPLIED WITH VARYING LEVELS OF COW MANURE VERMICOMPOST AND NASA LIQUID ORGANIC FERTILIZER

ABSTRACT

Dry land is a prospective resource to support sustainable agricultural development. Apart from its expanse which reaches an area of 144.47 million hectares. However, there are various challenges in using dry land as a source of new growth for the agricultural sector. One of them is the rapid land degradation process caused by soil erosion, soil compaction, scarcity of water supply to irrigate plants, and loss of soil organic matter which causes low soil fertility levels. Efforts that can be made are by providing organic fertilizer. The research aimed to determine the growth and yield of tomato applied with varying levels of vermicompost and NASA POC and their interaction effects. Ultimately, determine the optimum concentrations of vermicompost and NASA POC suitable for the growth and yield of tomato plant. The research was carried out from August 2022 to December 2022 in the Organic Rice Cluster area, Karang Anyar Village, Tanjung Palas District, Bulungan Regency, North Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. The research used a 4 x 3 Factorial Experiment in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD), with 5 replications. The first factor is the dose of vermicompost (V) consisting of 4 levels, namely: without vermicompost (v0), 450 g polybag⁻¹ (v1), 900 g polybag⁻¹ (v2), 1350 g polybag⁻¹ (v3). The second factor is the NASA POC concentration (P) consisting of 3 levels, namely: without Nasa POC (p0), 1 ml l⁻¹ water (p1), and 2.5 ml l⁻¹ water (p2). The results showed that the response to plant height aged 14 and 21 days after planting, plant age at flowering, plant age at harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of one fruit, and weight of fruit per plant were not significantly different from the application of vermicompost, Nasa POC and their interactions, except for the response The height of plants aged 28 days was significantly different from the application of chicken manure vermicompost. The highest fruit weight per plant was produced in the treatment of 900 g polybag⁻¹ vermicompost and 1 ml l⁻¹ water Nasa POC.

Keywords: Vermicompost, Nasa POC, Tomato

I. INTRODUCTION

Tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill.) are a type of annual vegetable plant that grows very quickly, namely 60-70 days after planting, so that in a relatively short time it can produce fruit. Many farmers cultivate this plant both in the lowlands and highlands. , because it has good prospects for cultivation in fresh or form processed [1]

Tomatoes are a plant that provides many benefits for humans, the fruit can be consumed directly or made into various food preparations, and can also be an additional ingredient in beauty products. The many benefits of tomatoes make the demand for tomatoes continue to increase so that there is a great opportunity to increase income for farmers [2].

Soil types in the mainland area of East Kalimantan are dominated by Ultisols which are spread across the Central and Northern parts of East Kalimantan, in East Kalimantan the distribution of Ultisols is around 10.04 million ha or around 80% of the land area of East Kalimantan [3,20,21,22], the large area of Ultisols land could be an opportunity to use it as land for cultivating tomato plants. As fertile land decreases and demand for tomatoes increases, ultisol soil can be a solution for expanding cultivated land by improving land conditions appropriately. According to [4] Ultisol used as cultivation land is faced with very acidic conditions, and low nutrient and C-organic content. Therefore, effective ways are needed to increase soil fertility that are oriented towards a sustainable agricultural system through the application of organic fertilizer.

Compost is an important organic fertilizer for plants, its use is increasingly being encouraged because it has three benefits, namely: benefits for the environment, soil, and plants, apart from that, compost is very helpful in solving environmental problems. For soil, compost can provide or add nutrients improve soil structure and texture, and store water. Vermicompost is an organic fertilizer made

from the breakdown of organic materials with the help of microorganisms and earthworms. In the process of decomposition of compost material by earthworms, the decomposition results contain various nutrients and are rich in growth regulators that support plant growth. According to [5] vermicompost contains growth regulators such as gibberellin, cytokinin, and auxin, as well as the nutrients N, P, K, Mg, and Ca and *Azotobacter* sp. which is a non-symbiotic N-fixing bacteria that will help enrich the N elements needed by plants. Vermicompost also contains various micronutrients needed by plants such as Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn, Bo, and Mo [6].

Apart from providing vermicompost, to improve the growth and yield of tomato plants, you can also provide Liquid Organic Fertilizer (POC). POC of Nasa is a fertilizer produced from natural ingredients such as animal protein, animal bones, and plant materials, resulting in a mixture of nutrients that are easily absorbed by plants and can improve land conditions. The element content in Nasa POC is N 4.15%, P₂O₅ 4.45%, K₂O 5.66%, C organic 9.69%, Fe 505.5 ppm, Mn 1931.1%, Cu 1179.8%, Zn 1986.1%, B 806.6%, Co 8.4 ppm, Mo 2.3 ppm, La 0 ppm, Ce 0 ppm, pH 5.61 [7]. The research aimed to determine the growth and yield of tomato applied with varying levels of vermicompost and NASA POC and their interaction effects. Ultimately, determine the optimum concentrations of vermicompost and NASA POC suitable for the growth and yield of tomato plant.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Time and Place of Research

The research was carried out from May to October 2023 at the Organic Rice Cluster Farm, Karang Anyar Village, Tanjung Palas District, Bulungan Regency, East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia.

2.2 Materials and Tools

The materials used are topsoil, tomato seeds of the Gustavi variety, cow manure vermicompost, earthworms, Nasa POC, and natural pesticides to control plant pest organisms. The equipment used is a polybag measuring 40x40cm, a hoe, soil sieve, rubber gloves, hand-sprayer, seedling tray, plant scissors, rope or wood, paranet, label board, stationery, meter, and a digital scale.

2.3 Research Design

The research used a 4 x 3 Factorial Experiment in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 5 replications. The first factor is the dose of vermicompost (V) consisting of 4 levels, namely: without vermicompost (v0), 450 g polybag⁻¹ (v1), 900g polybag⁻¹ (v2), 1350g polybag⁻¹ (v3). The second factor is the NASA POC concentration (P) consisting of 3 levels, namely: without Nasa POC (p0), 1 ml l⁻¹ water (p1), and 2.5 ml l⁻¹ water (p2).

2.4 Research Activities

The research activities carried out were: preparation of planting media, sowing seeds, planting, giving vermicompost, giving Nasa POC, plant maintenance (watering, replanting, installing stakes, hilling, pest and disease control), and harvesting.

Vermicompost was applied to each polybag 3 times, namely at planting, 21 and 42 days after planting, with doses according to the treatment, namely (v0, v1, v2, and v3). The provision of Nasa POC in each polybag was adjusted to the treatment concentration (p0, p1, and p2) at 21, 35, 49, and 63 days after planting.

Harvesting tomatoes is done with the following characteristics: the green skin of the fruit changes to yellowish yellow, the edges of the old leaves dry out, the stems turn yellow, and the fruit is ready to be harvested. Harvesting is carried out in 5 stages at 1 week intervals.

2.5 Observation Parameters

Data collected were plant height aged 14, 21, and 28 days after planting, age when the first flowers appeared, age at first harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of 1 fruit, and weight of fruit per plant.

2.6 Data Analysis

To determine the effect of vermicompost and Nasa POC treatment and their interactions, an analysis of variance was carried out, if the results of the analysis of variance were significantly different ($F \text{ count} > F\text{-table } 5\%$) or very significantly different ($F \text{ count} > F\text{-table } 1\%$), then to compare The two treatment averages were further tested with the least significant difference test at the 5% level [8]. Data analysis used the SPSS statistics program.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Cow Manure Vermicompost

The results of variance analysis showed that the response to plant height aged 14 and 21 days after planting, plant age at flowering, plant age at harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of 1 fruit, and weight of fruit per plant were not significantly different, except for the response to plant height at 28 days old which was different. very real regarding the provision of chicken manure vermicompost. The research results are presented in Table 1. There was a significantly different of giving vermicompost on plant height 28 days after planting because the age of the tomato plants increased and they entered the generative phase so they needed more nutrients. In accordance with the statement by [9] that as the age of the plant increases, it is also necessary to provide fertilizer to support its growth and development.

Table 1. Average Growth and Yield of Tomato Plants on Various Doses of Cow Manure Vermicompost

Vermicompost Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Plant age at flowering (DAP)	plant age at harvest (DAP)	Number of fruit per plant (fruit)	Weight of 1 fruit (g)	Weight of fruit per plant (g)
	14 DAP	21 DAP	28 DAP					
Anova Ragam	ns	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
0 g polybag ⁻¹ (v0)	30,74	63,66	88,97 d	33,70	83,30	29,00	108,09	608,90
450 g polybag ⁻¹ (v1)	32,43	67,94	92,25 c	34,60	84,70	20,67	122,37	466,84
900 g polybag ⁻¹ (v2)	32,46	69,87	99,26 b	32,30	83,60	34,33	122,66	740,03
1350 g polybag ⁻¹ (v3)	34,47	72,44	104,36 a	32,20	82,50	31,67	100,32	634,85

Note: ns = not significantly different; ** = very significantly different, and DAP = days after planting

Based on the data in Table 1, shows that the administration of various doses of cow dung vermicompost (v1, v2, and v3) resulted in taller tomato plants, faster plant age at flowering and harvest, greater number of fruit, and higher weight of 1 fruit. fruit size and weight per plant were higher compared to the treatment without cow dung vermicompost (v0). This is because organic fertilizers such as cow dung vermicompost can improve soil properties. As stated by [10], vermicompost has direct and indirect effects on plants, among other things, it can improve the physical properties of the soil and provide the nutrients needed by plants. The use of vermicompost in plant cultivation systems has been proven to reduce the use of mineral fertilizers. [11] stated that the provision of vermicompost can influence increased plant growth and yield. The results of the research reported by [12] show that the application of vermicompost can improve the growth and yield of tomato plants, this is related to the greater uptake of P, K, Fe, and Zn nutrients. The results of other research reported by [13] stated that the best treatment was 100% solid vermicompost treatment with a dose of 600 g polybag⁻¹ with an average total fruit weight of 447.22 g plant⁻¹. The optimum dose for the 100% solid vermicompost application method was 709.50 g plant⁻¹ and the maximum yield was 494.70 g plant⁻¹.

The results of the research also showed that treatment of vermicompost at a dose of 900 g polybag⁻¹ (v2) tended to produce the highest number of fruit per plant (34.33), the largest weight of 1 fruit (122.66 g) and the highest fruit weight per plant (740.03 g), but after the vermicompost dose was increased to 1350 g polybag⁻¹ (v3), the fruit yield of tomato plants tended to decrease. The results of this research are in line with the report by [13] that the weight of tomatoes in the treatment of 100% solid vermicompost and 100% liquid vermicompost followed a quadratic regression pattern, while the treatment of 50% solid vermicompost and 50% liquid vermicompost followed a linear regression pattern. This phenomenon was explained by [14] that plant growth and production will reach an optimum point if the factors supporting growth are in optimal condition, the elements are balanced, the right dose of fertilizer, and the required nutrients are available to the plant. Providing fertilizer according to the dosage and needs can increase yields, whereas giving too much fertilizer will reduce plant yields.

3.2. POC Nasa

The results of variance analysis showed that the response to plant height aged 14, 21, and 28 days after planting, plant age at flowering, plant age at harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of 1 fruit, and weight of fruit per plant were not significantly different from Nasa POC treatments. The research results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Average Growth and Yield of Tomato Plants at Various Nasa POC Concentrations

POC Nasa Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Plant age at flowering (DAP)	plant age at harvest (DAP)	Number of fruit per plant (fruit)	Weight of 1 fruit (g)	Weight of fruit per plant (g)
	14 DAP	21 DAP	28 DAP					
Anova Ragam	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
0 ml l ⁻¹ water (p0)	32,78	66,97	95,94	32,40	84,20	27,00	115,74	583,97
1 ml l ⁻¹ water (p1)	32,38	65,95	95,84	32,50	82,60	32,25	116,37	663,31
1 ml l ⁻¹ water (p2)	32,41	71,03	96,88	34,80	83,70	27,50	115,73	585,69

Note: ns = not significantly different; and DAP = days after planting

Although growth, fruit components, and fruit weight per tomato plant did not respond significantly differently to the giving of Nasa POC, the research results in Table 2 show that the giving of various concentrations of Nasa POC of 1 ml l⁻¹ water (p1) and 2.5 ml l⁻¹ water (p2) produces taller tomato plants, faster plant age at flowering and harvest, greater number of fruit, greater weight of 1 fruit and higher fruit weight per plant compared to treatment without Nasa POC (p0). This is because giving Nasa POC can increase the availability and uptake of nutrients by tomato plants. As stated by [15] Nasa POC is a liquid organic fertilizer produced by PT. Natural Nusantara. This formula is specifically designed to meet the complete nutritional needs of plants and is made purely from organic ingredients, containing macro and micronutrients and also growth stimulants. Apart from that, the benefits of Nasa POC are improving the quality and quantity of production as well as environmental sustainability, spurring growth, and stimulating flowering and fruiting for plants [16].

The results showed that the treatment of 1 ml l⁻¹ water Nasa POC (p1) tended to produce the highest number of fruit per plant (32.25 pieces), the largest weight of 1 fruit (116.57 g), and the highest fruit weight per plant (663.31 g), followed by treatment with 2.5 ml l⁻¹ water Nasa POC (p2) respectively 27.50 pieces; 115.73 g, and 585.69 g, and treatment without Nasa POC (p0), namely respectively; 27.00 pieces; 115.74 g, and 583.97 g. This situation shows that giving Nasa POC up to a certain concentration can increase the fruit yield of tomato plants, but if the concentration of foliar fertilizer is increased again it can reduce the fruit yield of the plant. As stated by [17], in terms of giving foliar fertilizer, several things

absolutely must be paid attention to, one of which is that the concentration made/given must be by the instructions, don't overdo it because it will have a bad effect on the plants. The research results are in line with those reported by [18] that giving Nasa POC up to a concentration of 3 ml l⁻¹ water produces the highest fruit weight per plant, however, if the concentration is increased to 4, 5, and 6 ml l⁻¹ water it can reduce fruit weight of tomato plants. The results of the research reported by [19] showed that the giving of various concentrations of Nasa POC (1, 2, and 3 ml l⁻¹ water) resulted in higher tomato fruit weight per plant compared to treatment without Nasa POC. Fruit weight per plant increased with increasing Nasa POC concentration given.

3.4. Interaction between Vermicompost and Nasa POC

The results of variance analysis showed that the response to plant height aged 14, 21, and 28 days after planting, plant age at flowering, plant age at harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of 1 fruit, and weight of fruit per plant were not significantly different from the interaction between vermicompost treatment with Nasa POC treatment. The research results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Average Growth and Yield of Tomato Plants in Various Combinations of Vermicompost Treatment and Nasa POC Treatment

Combination of Vermicompost and Nasa POC	Plant height (cm)			Plant age at flowering (DAP)	plant age at harvest (DAP)	Number of fruit per plant (fruit)	Weight of 1 fruit (g)	Weight of fruit per plant (g)
	14 DAP	21 DAP	28 DAP					
Anova Ragam	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
v0p0	30,38	60,12	89,45	33,00	84,40	23,00	120,05	473,92
v0p1	33,94	63,58	89,93	33,00	82,40	32,00	100,38	695,40
v0p2	27,94	67,28	87,52	35,00	83,20	32,00	103,76	657,37
v1p0	30,72	65,94	89,66	33,60	85,00	14,00	119,42	315,82
v1p1	30,60	67,73	89,57	32,60	83,20	29,00	118,41	650,96
v1p2	35,96	70,14	97,51	37,60	86,00	19,00	129,27	433,73
v2p0	31,58	69,45	95,22	33,60	85,50	30,00	123,98	727,65
v2p1	32,78	69,93	100,34	31,20	82,60	41,00	100,76	838,47
v2p2	33,00	70,24	102,23	32,20	82,60	24,00	143,23	694,65
v3p0	38,44	68,54	109,41	29,40	81,70	41,00	99,52	797,80
v3p1	32,34	72,35	103,44	33,00	82,80	35,00	105,92	557,00
v3p2	32,74	76,44	100,24	34,80	83,00	27,00	95,52	509,07

Note: ns = not significantly different; and DAP = days after planting

The results of the research showed that the effect of the interaction between vermicompost treatment and Nasa POC had no significant effect on the growth and yield of tomato plants. This is supported by the independent influence of the two treatment factors which is also not significantly different. This situation shows that the vermicompost fertilizer factor and the Nasa POC concentration factor do not jointly influence the growth and yield of tomato plants. As explained by [8], if the influence of different interactions is not significant then it can be concluded that the treatment factors act independently of each other. The research results in Table 3 show that treatment with various doses of vermicompost combined with various Nasa POC tends to provide better growth and fruit yield of tomato plants compared to the combination of various vermicompost doses without Nasa POC. This is because the application of vermicompost can improve the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the soil and the application of Nasa POC can increase the availability of nutrients so that tomato plants can grow well and provide high yields.

The highest fruit yields were produced in combinations of various doses of vermicompost fertilizer with Nasa POC with a concentration of 1 ml l⁻¹ water which tended to produce higher fruit yields on

tomato plants, whereas if the concentration was increased to 2.5 ml l⁻¹ water tended to reduce the number of fruit, weight of 1 fruit and weight of fruit per plant. This is because the Nasa POC concentration given is too large and has an unfavorable effect on tomato plants. As stated by [17], for foliar fertilizer to produce results as expected, the concentration given should not exceed the recommended concentration.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this research, it can be concluded that

1. Response of plant height 14 and 21 days after planting, plant age at flowering, plant age at harvest, number of fruit per plant, weight of 1 fruit, and weight of fruit per plant were not significantly different to the application of vermicompost, POC Nasa and their interactions, except for the response to plant height aged 28 days, there was a very significant difference in giving chicken manure vermicompost.
2. The highest fruit weight per plant was produced in the treatment of 900 g polybag⁻¹ vermicompost and 1 ml l⁻¹ water Nasa POC.

References :

- [1] Tugiyono, H. 2017. *Planting tomatoes*. PenebarSwadaya, Jakarta.
- [2] Purwati, E. and Khairunisa, 2007, *Lowland Tomato Cultivation*, Penebar. Swadaya, Jakarta.
- [3] Yudhantoro, W., January, A., Pitaloka, E., Rusdayanti, N., Damayanti, P., Wardana, S., Pramati, S., Shara, S., Hasibuan, H.S. and Tambunan, R. 2020. Implementation of spatial and development planning in East Kalimantan Province. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Environmental Science and Sustainable Development, ICESDD 2019, 22-23 October 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia*.
- [4] Fahrussyah, Mulyadi, A. Sarjono, S. Darma. 2021. Increasing the Efficiency of Phosphorus Fertilization on Ultisol using Coal Fly Ash. *Journal Land Land Resources*. 8:189-202.
- [5] Zahid, A, 1994. *Economic and Ecological Benefits of Recycling Cattle Manure Waste into Vermicompost. Case Study at PT. Nusa Duta Pattern, Ciamis*. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bogor Agricultural Institute.
- [6] Mashur. 2001. *Vermicompost (Earthworm Compost) Quality and Environmentally Friendly Organic Fertilizer*. Agricultural Technology Research and Assessment Installation (IPPTP), Mataram.
- [7] PT. Natural Nusantara. 2018. *Nasa Liquid Organic Fertilizer*. <https://pupuknaturalnusantara.net/>.
- [8] Steel, R.G.D and J. H. Torrie. 1991. *Statistical Principles and Procedures for a Biometric Approach*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta.
- [9] Mulyani Sutejo, M. and A.G. Kartasapoetra. 2002. *Fertilizer and Fertilization Methods*. Rineka Cipta, Jakarta
- [10] Lazcano, C., and Dominguez, J. 2011. *The Use of Vermicompost in Sustainable Agriculture: Impact on Plant Growth and Soil Fertility In Soil Nutrient*. Ed. M, Miransi, Nova Science Publishers.Inc. ISBN 978-1-61324-785-3-23 PP.
- [11] Aziz, M. F., Murwani, I., and Nurhidayati. 2020. The Effect of Various Planting Media Compositions and Liquid Vermicompost Dosages on Hydroponic Growth and Yield of Lettuce Plants (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Folium Journal*, 4(1): 47-57.
- [12] Azarmi, R., P.S. Ziveh, and M.R. Satari. 2008. Effect of Vermicompost on the Growth, Yield, and Nutrition Status of Tomato. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*. 11 (14): 1797-1802.
- [13] Filardi, A., Djuhari, and Nurhidayati. 2021. Effect of Vermicompost Application Method and Dosage on Tomato Plant Growth and Yield. *Folium Journal*. 5 (1): 1-13.
- [14] Bustami, Sufardi, and Bahtiar. 2012. Nutrient Uptake and Efficiency of Phosphate Fertilization and Growth of Local Varieties of Rice. Faculty of Agriculture, Unsyiah. Banda Aceh. *Journal of Land Resources Management*. 1(2): 159- 170.

- [15] Buton, L., C. Mirja.,G. Word. 2019. The Effect of Nasa Liquid Organic Fertilizer Concentration and Planting Distance on Growth and Production of Beans. *Journal of Scientific & Technology Research* Vol. 8 (2): 1-4
- [16] Yulianti. D. 2010. The Effect of Organic Hormones and Super NASA Liquid Organic Fertilizer (POC) on the Production of Sweet Corn (*Zea maysaccharata* Sturt). <http://penelitian-organik-penelitian.blogspot.com/2010/03/Influence-hormon-organik-dan-pupuk.html>. Accessed on 22 September 2019.
- [17] Lingga, P. and Marsono. 2008. *Instructions for Fertilizer Use*. PenebarSwadaya, Jakarta.
- [18] Yudi, A.H. and N. Hayati. 2022. Growth and Yield Response of Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.) Plants to Nasa and NPK Liquid Organic Fertilizer. *Journal Agrotechbis* 10 (3): 527 – 536.
- [19] Afianto, Djarwatiningsih, and A. Sulistyono. 2020. Effect of Concentration and Time Intervals of Liquid Organic Fertilizers on Tomato Growth and Yield (*Lycopersicum esculentum* L.). *Plumula Journal*. 8 (2): 67-80
- [20] Mojeremane W, Moseki O, Mathowa T, Legwaila GM, Machacha S. Yield and Yield Attributes of Tomato as Influenced by Organic Fertilizer. *J. Exp. Agric. Int.* [Internet]. 2016 Mar. 31 [cited 2024 May 19];12(1):1-10. Available from: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/478>
- [21] Gracia JM, Elayaraja D, Kamalakannan P, Kamaleshwaran R. Effect of Boron Fertilization and Boron Enriched Organic Manures on Yield Boron Use Efficiency and Nutrient Uptake by Tomato in Coastal Soil. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* [Internet]. 2024 Apr. 20 [cited 2024 May 19];36(6):50-61. Available from: <https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/4605>
- [22] Xu X, Wang J, Wu H, Yuan Q, Wang J, Cui J, Lin A. Effects of selenium fertilizer application and tomato varieties on tomato fruit quality: A meta-analysis. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2022 Oct 15;304:111242.