

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115997
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Enterobius Vermiculris infection among children In in Karbala Governorate, Iraq
Type of the Article	Scientific article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important, it is necessary to raise awareness among the population, the people who are in charge or care of children to prevent them from becoming infected with gastrointestinal parasites since this reduces their quality of life.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>Yes , it is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, I think it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, I think they are</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>1. Scientific names are written in italics</p> <p>2. et al., is written in italics, like this: <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p>3. You should check the spaces after the parentheses, as well as the font size.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>4. It would be interesting if they added implications, because the infection is diagnosed, but it is expected in the future to avoid it or avoid retransmission.</p> <p>5. They mention the samples obtained, but they no longer do any further analysis to identify the parasites.</p> <p>In general, I think you can do more analysis on the samples obtained.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariana Paz Calderón Nieto
Department, University & Country	Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, México