

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_117329 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impediments to effective healthcare delivery among rural women in Cross River State, Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript sheds light on the intricate relationship between social dynamics and healthcare access among rural women in Cross River State, Nigeria, offering crucial insights for addressing healthcare disparities in underserved communities. Its findings and implications contribute significantly to the scientific community's understanding of healthcare delivery in rural areas, making it an important resource for researchers and policymakers alike. Yes, the title effectively captures the focus and scope of the research, providing a clear indication of the subject matter and geographical context. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the research, summarizing the study's objectives, methods, key findings, and implications effectively within a concise format. Yes, the manuscript's subsections and overall structure are appropriate, as they logically organize the content and facilitate understanding of the study objectives, methods, findings, and implications. Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically correct. Yes, the references provided are sufficient and cover a wide range of relevant literature on the topic. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness of the review, it might be beneficial to include more recent references, particularly those published within the last 3-5 years, to ensure the inclusion of the most up-to-date research findings and perspectives. <p>There are some formatting issues in the manuscript. They may be addressed.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear, and concise, and effectively communicates the research findings and implications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Satisfactory</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ayesha Afzal |
| Department, University & Country | University of Management and Technology, Pakistan |