

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJSSPN_117822
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of long term continuous Tea cultivation in water quality index under Deep, fine loamy ,very deep , course loamy and very deep, fine loamy well drained soil.
Type of the Article	Original study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript is good but needs some improvements in some sections. 2. Concise the title in scientific manners. 3. Write the statement of problem and conclusion for future prospective of the study in abstract section. 4. Write the background, research gap, and hypothesis of the investigation in introduction section. 5. Use of abbreviation properly like MDS, PC. 6. Show your observations on result of water quality analysis in result section. 7. Conclude all possible aspects and direction of investigation in conclusion section. 8. Write the benefits and future challenges for human and nature from your investigation in conclusion section. 9. Citation and references should be made properly in one of the single format. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, language is scientific and communicable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varsha
Department, University & Country	Patliputra University, India