

Prevalence of Bovine Subclinical Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors at Sylhet District in Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Subclinical mastitis is a prevalent issue in dairy farming, leading to significant economic losses. This study aims to determine the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in the Sylhet district and identify associated risk factors. Understanding these factors is crucial for developing effective control strategies. A total of 114 dairy cows from 22 dairy farms were sampled for this study, and the milk of the cows was screened for SCM using the California Mastitis Test (CMT). Results reveal that the prevalence of SCM at the individual cow and farm level was 25.43% and 31.81%, respectively. Nevertheless, a chi-square (χ^2) statistical analysis showed that the incidence of SCM was significantly correlated ($p < 0.05$) with variables like milk yield and the educational status of farmers. Contrarily, the factors such as the type of house, adequate drainage facilities, floor type, udder washing before milking, farmer's occupation, professional experience, milking method, breed, age, or lactation stage showed no significant association ($p > 0.05$) with the prevalence of SCM. In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrate the high prevalence of SCM, which poses a serious risk to the productivity performance of the dairy business. To combat the disease, CMT screenings on a regular basis, good hygiene, improved management practices and farmer awareness are recommended in the studied areas.

Keywords: Subclinical mastitis; California mastitis test; Prevalence; Risk factors; Bangladesh.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dairy sector of Bangladesh is becoming more and more well-known every day. Currently, 6 million crossbred, high-yielding cows are raised on both commercial and household farms nationwide, producing 9.4 million metric tons of milk annually [1, 2]. Dairy cows frequently get mastitis, which causes numerous physical, chemical, pathological and bacterial changes in the glandular tissue and milk [3]. This has had an impact on the dairy industry worldwide, with direct and indirect financial ramifications for farms in the form of decreased milk output and quality, a high rate of culling, subpar productivity, volatile milk prices, costs associated with replacement, treatment and other factors [4, 5]. Mastitis is difficult to diagnose, dairy farmers may underestimate these costs [6]. Among bovine diseases, mastitis has a significant negative influence on both the economy and animal welfare [7].

Clinical mastitis can be detected by the history of animals and clinical signs; laboratory tests are required to diagnose subclinical mastitis. Studies show that there is a notably greater incidence of subclinical mastitis (SCM) than clinical mastitis. With a frequency that is 15–40 times higher than that of clinical mastitis, subclinical mastitis is much more prevalent [8]. Most of the dairy farms suffer large financial losses as a result, and the dairy business in Bangladesh is particularly hard hit [9]. According to the California Mastitis Test (CMT), the prevalence of SCM in cows in Bangladesh varies between 20 to 44% [10, 11]

Recently, CMT was used in a study to determine whether a patient has subclinical mastitis [12]. According to various research, the prevalence of SCM in crossbred dairy cows in Bangladesh has been reported to range from 28.5% to 61.3% [13, 14, 15, 16]. Unfortunately, little research has been done on SCM in the eastern side of Bangladesh, especially in the district of Sylhet. Cattle's farming is more prevalent in Sylhet district and impacted by several production diseases such as mastitis [17]. Thus, the current study aims to determine the prevalence and risk factors related to sub-clinical mastitis in the Sylhet district of Bangladesh.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Animals

The study was carried out in the registered medium to large-sized commercial household dairy farms of Sylhet district in Bangladesh during the period from January 2021 to October 2021. In order to investigate the prevalence of SCM at the farm and animal level, 114 dairy cows were selected at random as sample populations from a total of 22 dairy farms. Thus, a detailed list of household dairy farms was created using the data obtained from the Sylhet district livestock office.

2.2 Data Collection Procedure

A structured questionnaire was given to the livestock farmers to gather information on the cattle breed (cross/indigenous), age of the cow (<5 years/5 to 7 years/>7 years), production system (intensive, semi-intensive and extensive), floor type (concrete/semi-concrete/muddy), washing of udder before milking (yes/no), lactation stage (early/mid/late), professional experience of farmers (yes/no), milking techniques (hand milking/machine milking), and milk yield (<4 liters /4 to 10 liters/>10 liters). Farmers' approval was obtained prior to data collection and every questionnaire question lasted for about 15 minutes. Interviews with the farm owners and, in certain situations, an abstraction of the farm records were used to collect data.

2.3 Detection of Subclinical Mastitis by California Mastitis Test (CMT)

Firstly, 2 ml of milk was manually collected in each cup while the paddle was held almost horizontally. Next, a polyethylene wash bottle was used to dispense an approximate equivalent volume of CMT reagent, which is made up of 3% sodium hydroxide, 1.5% bromocresol purple, and 1.5% alkyl acryl sulfonate. The paddle was used to mix the ingredients gently for a short period in a horizontal plane. The high somatic cell concentration in the milk caused the response to occur relatively instantly. Within ten seconds, the reaction peaked and was scored. Before being utilized for the following test, the paddle was thoroughly cleaned with water. Depending on the strength of the response, the CMT test findings were categorized as either positive or negative. Gel formation was used to score and record the CMT outcome [18]. Based on the physical examination of the udder, the type and appearance of milk output, and the animal's response to CMT, SCM was identified [19].

2.4 Data Analysis

The data collected from the questionnaire survey and CMT analysis were loaded into Microsoft Excel 2010, a spreadsheet tool, for data summarization and analysis. The prevalence of ND was calculated using the Microsoft Office Excel worksheet 2010. The prevalence was determined by dividing the number of positive samples by the total number of samples tested. In order to evaluate the significant influence of parameters, the data were additionally examined using Pearson's Chi-square (χ^2) test with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25 (SPSS Inc., USA). A p-value ($p < 0.05$) was regarded as statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Overall Prevalence of Subclinical Mastitis at Sylhet District in Bangladesh

In this study, a total of 114 dairy cattle were examined using the California mastitis test, out of which 25.43% ($n = 29/114$) were recorded as positive for mastitis. Out of 22 farms, 7 farms were affected with mastitis which was 31.81% ($n=7/22$) of the total farms (Table 1).

Table 1. The overall prevalence of subclinical mastitis at Sylhet district in Bangladesh

Level	Number of tested (N)	Number of affected (n)	Prevalence (%)
Farm	22	07	31.81
Individual	114	29	25.43

(N) = Number of tested farm / animal, (n) = Number of affected farm / animal, (%) = Percentage of prevalence

3.2 Household Dairy Farm Level Prevalence of SCM at Sylhet District in Bangladesh

According to this study, the prevalence in extended, semi-intensive, and intensive housing systems was determined to be 36.36%, 28.57%, and 25%, respectively, the difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). In the house with a proper drainage system, SCM was recorded at 33.33%, whereas in the house with a defective drainage system, it was recorded at 28.57%. The occurrence of SCM was found to be the highest at concrete flooring (41.67%) followed by semi-concrete and muddy floor with 25% and 16.67%, respectively. It was observed that the prevalence of SCM noted insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) higher (35.29%) on farms where the udder was cleaned prior to milking, while on farms where this practice was not followed, the prevalence was 20%. The farms with the highest frequency (35.71%) of SCM were operated by farmers who primarily depended on animal husbandry for their livelihood, whereas farms with farmers who considered animal husbandry as a subsidiary occupation had the lowest prevalence (25%). Compared to farmers without experience (44.44%), those with professional experience had a lower frequency of SCM (23.08%). There is a significant association ($p < 0.05$) between the educational status of farmers and the prevalence of SCM. The percentage of SCM in illiterate, SSC, HSC and graduate farmers were recorded at 44.44%, 25%, 33.33% and 0%, respectively. The farms with hand milking had the insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) highest frequency (38.46%), whereas farms with machine milking had the lowest prevalence (22.22%) of SCM (Table 2).

Table 2. Household dairy farm level prevalence of SCM at Sylhet district in Bangladesh

Risk factor	Categories	Farms tested		SCM positive		χ^2	p-Value
		N	%	n	%		
Type of housing	Intensive	11	50.00	04	36.36		
	Semi intensive	07	31.82	02	28.57	0.224	0.894
	Extensive	04	18.18	01	25.00		
Proper drainage facilities	Present	15	68.18	05	33.33		
	Absent	07	31.82	02	28.57	1.455	0.228
Type of floor	Muddy	04	18.18	01	25.00		
	Concrete	12	54.55	05	41.67	0.672	0.715
	Semi concrete	06	27.27	01	16.67		
Udder washing before milking	Yes	17	77.27	06	35.29		
	No	05	22.73	01	20.00	0.417	0.519
Farmer's occupation	Dairy farming	14	63.64	05	35.71		
	Farming and business	08	36.36	02	25.00	0.604	0.490
Professional experience	Yes	13	59.09	03	23.08		
	No	09	40.91	04	44.44	1.119	0.290
Educational status	Illiterate	04	18.18	04	44.44		
	SSC	09	40.91	01	25.00		
	HSC	06	27.27	02	33.33	11.757	0.003
	Graduate	03	13.64	00	0.00		
Milking method	Hand milking	13	59.09	05	38.46		
	Machine milking	09	40.91	02	22.22	0.269	0.604

(N) = Number of tested farm / animal, (n) = Number of affected farm / animal, (%) = Percentage of prevalence, (SSC) = Secondary school certificate, (HSC) = Higher secondary certificate, (χ^2) = Chi square value

3.3 Individual Cow Level Prevalence of SCM at Sylhet District in Bangladesh

The prevalence of SCM varies according to breed, age, lactation stage and milk yield, as Table 3 illustrates. The crossbred cows had an insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) higher frequency

of SCM (26.14%) in the area compared to native cows (23.08%). There were three observed prevalence rates of SCM: 22.92% (5 to 7 years), 28.57% (>7 years), and 25.81% (<5 years). Mastitis is more common in cases of early lactation (33.96%) than in cases of mid- and late-lactation (19.15%) and 14.29%, respectively. Furthermore, a statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) correlation exists between milk yield and prevalence. The highest percentage (36.84%) of SCM was observed in cows generating 4 to 10 liters of milk per day, followed by 33.33% and 16.39% in cows producing more than 10 liters and less than 4 liters of milk per day, respectively.

Table 3. Individual cow level prevalence of SCM at Sylhet district in Bangladesh

Risk factor	Categories	Sample tested		SCM positive		χ^2	p-Value
		N	%	N	%		
Breed	Indigenous	26	22.81	06	23.08	0.099	0.753
	Cross	88	77.19	23	26.14		
Age	<5 years	31	27.19	08	25.81	0.344	0.842
	5 to 7 years	48	42.11	11	22.92		
	>7 years	35	30.70	10	28.57		
Stage of lactation	Early	53	46.49	18	33.96	3.679	0.159
	Mid	47	41.23	09	19.15		
	Late	14	12.28	02	14.29		
Milk yield	<4 liters	61	53.51	10	16.39	6.085	0.048
	4 to 10 liters	38	33.33	14	36.84		
	>10 liters	15	13.16	05	33.33		

(N) = Number of sample tested, (n) = Number of SCM positive sample, (%) = Percentage, (χ^2) = Chi square value

4. DISCUSSION

The prevalence of subclinical mastitis 25.43% obtained in this study is lower than the 51.3% reported by Rahman et al. [20] in cows using a modified white slide test. Sayeed et al. [17] stated that around 71.9% farm of the Jhenaidah district was affected by SCM. The overall prevalence of SCM at Bijoynagar upazila under the Brahmanbaria district of Bangladesh was 28.75% which was almost similar to our findings [21]. On a global scale, the current study found that the prevalence of SCM is lower compared to studies conducted in Nigeria (85.3%) [22], Uganda (86.2) [23], and Vietnam (88.6%) [24]. On the other hand, the estimated prevalence of SCM is more than that found in the studies conducted in Ethiopia [25] and Rwanda [26]. The disparity in the prevalence of subclinical mastitis in cows across various studies conducted within the country and worldwide can be attributed to differences in geographical location, meteorological conditions, farm makeup, and overall husbandry practices [27].

According to Islam et al. [28], the prevalence of SCM in local breed cows was 22.22%, 27.94%, 21.21%, and 18.18%, respectively, for the age groups of 3 to 5 years, >5 to 8 years, >8 to 12 years, and >12 years. Simultaneously, the age groups of 3 years to 5 years, >5 years to 8 years, >8 years to 12 years, and >12 years in cross-breed cows showed 33.33%, 40.90%, 28.57%, and 0.00% frequency of SCM, respectively. Our study is more or less supported by these results. The high incidence of SCM in the initial phase of lactation can be attributed to the susceptibility of high-yielding cows with larger udders to infection and inflammation. This observation confirms the findings of Pal and Verma [29], who reported that there is a higher occurrence of mastitis in cows producing up to 9 kg of milk, and a lower occurrence of the disease in later stages of lactation (after five months).

From the results of this investigation, there was a tendency for the prevalence of SCM to decline from early (45.83%) to mid (31.58%), with a greater incidence in the late stage than in the mid (33.33%) stage [28]. However, Rahman et al. [30] found that the highest occurrence of subclinical mastitis is during the third month of lactation (34%), while the lowest occurrence is during lactation periods of five months or longer (2%). Islam et al. [12] and Sinha et al. [31] found a greater occurrence of subclinical mastitis during the later stages of lactation in Bangladesh.

Sohidullah et al. [32] and Almaw et al. [33] showed a higher prevalence of SCM in cross-breed dairy cows, in an investigation of the prevalence of the condition based on breed type. Our results were nearly identical to those of these investigations.

Moreover, the prevalence of SCM in local breed was found to be 13.04%, 20.75%, 30.95%, 37.5% and 50%; in cross breed, the corresponding rates were 28.57%, 35.48%, 40.00%, and 42.85% in >1-2 liters, >2-5 liters, >5-10 liters, and >10 liters daily milk producing cows respectively [28]. This finding is similar to the results of our study.

The type and cleanliness of the floor are crucial risk factors for the occurrence of SCM. Previous research has shown that cemented floors and their cleanliness play a significant role in increasing the risk of SCM elsewhere [34, 32]. Nearly the same results were also seen in our investigation.

5. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that subclinical mastitis poses a significant obstacle to the advancement of dairy farming in Bangladesh. At the farm and individual animal levels, the prevalence of SCM is determined to be 31.81%, and 25.43%, respectively. It is necessary to control subclinical mastitis in its early stages to prevent its advancement to clinical mastitis. Thus, using CMT is an affordable and practical method for routinely checking subclinical mastitis at field level. Farmers should be aware of the economic impact of the disease and take steps to improve care and management practices.

REFERENCES

1. Alam M, Sarder M. Effects of nutrition on production and reproduction of dairy cows in Bangladesh. Bangladesh Vet. 2010;27:8-17. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v27i1.5910>
2. DLS. Livestock economy (2018-2019) at a Glance, DLS, 2019. Accessed 20 February 2020. Available: <http://dls.portal.gov.bd>

3. Samad MA. Animal husbandry and veterinary science, volume II, LEP pub no.11.1st ed. Bangladesh Agricultural University campus, Mymensingh; 2008.
4. Khasapane NG, Byaruhanga C, Thekiso O, Nkhebenyane SJ, Khumalo ZTH. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis, its associated bacterial isolates and risk factors among cattle in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2023;19(1):123. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-023-03673-6>
5. Halasa T, Huijps K, Osteras O, Hogeveen H. Economic effects of bovine mastitis and mastitis management: a review. *Vet. Q.* 2007;29:18–31.
6. Ashraf A, Imran M. Causes, types, etiological agents, prevalence, diagnosis, treatment, prevention, effects on human health and future aspects of bovine mastitis. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* 2020;13:1–14.
7. Sharun K, Dhama K, Tiwari R, Gugjoo MB, Iqbal Yatoo M, Patel SK et al. Advances in therapeutic and managemental approaches of bovine mastitis: A comprehensive review. *Vet. Q.* 2021;41(1):107–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01652176.2021.1882713>
8. Rahman M, Momu JM, Adnan MR, Talha MH, Rahman MU, Ahmad M et al. Prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows in selected areas of sylhet district. *Int. J. Adv. Res. Publ.* 2017;1:95-98.
9. Sarker SC, Parvin MS, Rahman AA, Islam MT. Prevalence and risk factors of subclinical mastitis in lactating dairy cows in north and south regions of Bangladesh. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.* 2013;45:1171-1176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-012-0342-7>
10. Rahman MA, Bhuiyan MMU, Kamal MM, Shamsuddin M. Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in dairy cows. *Bangladesh Vet. J.* 2009;26:54-60. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v26i2.4951>
11. Rabbani AFMG, Samad MA. Host determinants based comparative prevalence of subclinical mastitis in lactating Holstein-Friesian cross cows and red Chittagong cows in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Vet. Med.* 2010;30:59-61.
12. Islam S, Barua SR, Islam A, Moni SP, Uddin H, Ferdous J et al. Epidemiology of sub-clinical mastitis in dairy cows in urban areas of chittagong, Bangladesh. *Turk. J. Agric. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019;7:845-850. <https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v7i6.845-850.2288>
13. Kayesh MEH, Talukder M, Anower A. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and its association with bacteria and risk factors in lactating cows of Barisal district in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Bio. Res.* 2014;2:35-38. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijbr.v2i2.2835>
14. Tripura T, Sarker S, Roy S, Parvin M, Sarker R, Rahman A et al. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in lactating cows and efficacy of intramammary infusion therapy. *Bangladesh J. Vet. Med.* 2014;12:55-61. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjvm.v12i1.20464>
15. Badiuzzaman M, Samad M, Siddiki S, Islam M, Saha S. Subclinical mastitis in lactating cows: Comparison of four screening tests and effect of animal factors on its occurrence. *Bangladesh J. Vet. Med.* 2015;13:41-50. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjvm.v13i2.26627>
16. Kabir MH, Ershaduzzaman M, Giasuddin M, Islam MR, Nazir KNH, Islam MS et al. Prevalence and identification of subclinical mastitis in cows at BLRI Regional Station, Sirajganj, Bangladesh. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* 2017;4:295-300. <https://doi.org/10.5455/javar.2017.d227>

17. Sayeed M, Islam B, Nahar N, Bari M, Sultana S, Arfin S et al. Epidemiology of livestock and poultry diseases in Jhenaidah district of Bangladesh. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 2020;8:804-812. <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.8.804.812>
18. Doherr MG, Roesch M, Schaeren W, Schallibaum M, Blum JW. Risk factors associated with subclinical mastitis in dairy cows on Swiss organic and conventional production system farms. *VeterinariMedicina-Praha.* 2007;52:487. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17221/2060-VETMED>
19. Radostitis O, Gay C, Hinchcliff K, Constable P. *Veterinary medicine. A text book of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats.* 10th Edition, Saunders Elsevier; Edinburgh, London, New York. Oxford, Philadelphia, St. Louis, Sydney, Toronto; 2007.
20. Rahman MM, Islam MR, Uddin MB, Aktaruzzaman M. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows reared in Sylhet district of Bangladesh. *Int. J. Biol. Res.* 2010;1:23-28.
21. Bhuiyan MU, Shahadat HM, Chakma SS, Islam F, Islam R, Islam T et al. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis of dairy cows in Bijoynagar upazila under Brahmanbaria district of Bangladesh. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 2020;8(4):364-369. <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.4.364.369>
22. Shittu A, Abdullahi J, Jibril A, Mohammed AA, Fasina FO. Sub-clinical mastitis and associated risk factors on lactating cows in the Savannah region of Nigeria. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2012;8:134. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-8-134>
23. Abrahmsen M, Persson Y, Kanyima BM, Bage R. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy farms in urban and peri-urban areas of Kampala, Uganda. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.* 2014;46:99-105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-013-0455-7>
24. Ostensson K, Lam V, Sjogren N, Wredle E. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and isolated udder pathogens in dairy cows in Southern Vietnam. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.* 2013;45:979-986. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-012-0320-0>
25. Abebe R, Hatiya H, Abera M, Megersa B, Asmare K. Bovine mastitis: prevalence, risk factors and isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy herds at Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. *BMC Vet. Res.* 2016;12:270. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-016-0905-3>
26. Mpatwenumugabo JP, Bebora LC, Gitao GC, Mobegi V, Iraguha B, Kamana O et al. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and distribution of pathogens in dairy farms of Rubavu and Nyabihu districts, Rwanda. *J. Vet. Med.* 2017;2017:8456713. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8456713>
27. Barua M, Prodhan MAM, Islam K, Chowdhury S, Hasanuzzaman M, Imtiaz MA et al. Sub-clinical mastitis prevalent in dairy cows in Chittagong district of Bangladesh: detection by different screening tests. *Vet. World.* 2014;7(7):483-488. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2014.483-488>
28. Islam MA, Islam MZ, Islam MT. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows in selected areas of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Vet. Med.* 2011;9:73-78. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235657289>
29. Pal B, Verma BB. A note on the incidence of subclinical mastitis in cows and buffaloes in an organized farm at Ranchi. *Ind. J. Vet. Med.* 1991;11:32-33.

30. Rahman MS, Nooruddin M, Rahman MM. Prevalence and distribution of mastitis in crossbred and exotic dairy cows. *Bangladesh Vet. J.* 1997;14:1-4.
31. Sinha B, Rahman S, Hannan MA, Rahman MM, Bhuiyan MU, Bari FY. Prevalence of mastitis in dairy cows in selected areas of Bangladesh. *Int. J. Bio. Res.* 2011;1:5-9. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/216410748>
32. Sohidullah M, Hossain JM, Alam AM, Rahman N, Salauddin M, Matubber B. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Sub-clinical Mastitis in Lactating Dairy Cows with Special Emphasis on Antibiogram of the Causative Bacteria in Bangladesh. *Asian J. Dairy Food Res.* 2024;43(1):148-155. <https://doi.org/10.18805/ajdr.DRF-304>
33. Almaw G, Zerihun A, Asfaw Y. Bovine mastitis and its association with selected risk factors in smallholder dairy farms in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health Pro.* 2008;40:427-432. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11250-007-9115-0>
34. Mekonnen SA, Koop G, Melkie ST, Getahun CD, Hogeveen H, Lam TJ. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis and associated risk factors at cow and herd level in dairy farms in North-West Ethiopia. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 2017;145:23-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.06.009>
- Manoj J, Chhabra R, Singh M, Singh MK. A Temporal Study on Incidence of Bovine Mastitis in Haryana, India. *Microbiol. Res. J. Int.* [Internet]. 2024 Apr. 12 [cited 2024 May 28];34(4):1-12. Available from: <https://journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/article/view/1437>
- Celik B, Kale M. Serodetection Bovine Herpesvirus Types 1, 4 and Bovine Parainfluenza Virus Type 3 Infections in Milk of Cows with Clinical Mastitis Based in Dairy Cattle Management in Turkey. *Ann. Res. Rev. Biol.* [Internet]. 2020 Mar. 14 [cited 2024 May 28];35(1):30-8. Available from: <https://journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/article/view/1484>
- Gomes F, Henriques M. Control of bovine mastitis: old and recent therapeutic approaches. *Current microbiology.* 2016 Apr;72:377-82.