

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_118158
Title of the Manuscript:	RESOURCE USE EFFICIENCY OF GROUNDNUT PRODUCTION IN MAHASAMUND AND RAIGARH DISTRICT OF CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific name.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive. Abstract starts with the statement of the broad objective, followed by the specific objectives, brief description of the study area, methodology, major result, statement of conclusion and a recommendation. The keywords starts wrongly, remove the first word on the keywords starts with resources used, production, marginal value, ratio and Chattisgarh.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structures are okay</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The reference are sufficient and recent. But the references in the referencing list should be listed using APA style of referencing.</p> <p>7. <b>Conclusion:</b> Normally when you conclude a research, you give recommendation. So, please the title under conclusion should be conclusion and recommendation.. and provide a recommendation at least.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The quality of the English language is suitable for scholarly communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Corrections pointed out most be effected before publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejoice James Mshelizah
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Zuru, Nigeria