

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_118158
Title of the Manuscript:	RESOURCE USE EFFICIENCY OF GROUNDNUT PRODUCTION IN MAHASAMUND AND RAIGARH DISTRICT OF CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES. By examining the input-output relationship, resource use can be optimized to enhance productivity and profitability. The finding will be of importance for farmers, agricultural economists, and policymakers aiming to improve agricultural practices and sustainability in the region.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES. However, author needs to include other subsections not captured.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>General Comments and areas for improvement</p> <p>Topic: It is relevant and feasible. However, "India" should be added.</p> <p>Abstract: Recommendations should be included.</p> <p>Keywords: The keywords provided are suitable and accurately reflect the focus of the study.</p> <p>Introduction section: To improve the introduction and help readers understand the relevance and significance of the study, it would be beneficial for the author to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Focus the introduction on context of the districts and directly link the discussion to resource use efficiency in a smooth transition. It can highlight the importance of groundnut to the districts and challenges related to resource use. ii. Clarify the need for the study, highlighting gaps in existing research or addresses specific research questions/problems. iii. Clearly outline the research objectives and possibly, a hypothesis of the study. <p>Material and methods/ methodology: To significantly improve the clarity and comprehensiveness of the Materials and Methods section, author should provide a detailed explanation of the:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Study area ii. Sampling techniques and selection of respondents for the study. iii. Analytical technique or tool used to achieve its objectives or analyze its data. iv. Ensure that units of measurements are consistently mentioned for all variables. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Results and Discussion: To enhance the analysis of resource use efficiency in groundnut production, author should:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Specify the degrees of freedom, significance level for t-test comparisons as well as the t-tabulated value table to allow readers to fully understand and verify the statistical significance of the results. ii. Discuss the implications of the findings on overall production efficiency. Detailed explanation regarding the non-significance of factors should be given, and possible reasons behind their limited impact on groundnut production in the study area. iii. Compare findings with similar studies. <p>Conclusion: To improve this section, it is recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Specific recommendations based on the study's results/findings be given. <p>References: To improve this section, it is recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. All references include full citation details: author(s), year, title, journal/book, volume, issue, pages, and URLs if applicable. ii. A consistent referencing style be used (e.g., APA) throughout the list. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edem, Tete Okon
Department, University & Country	University of Cross River State (UNICROSS), Nigeria