

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_116621</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Socio-Personal, Economic, communicational variables, Psychological Variable, Character of Livelihood Security of small and marginal farmers in Rewa block of Rewa District (M.P.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>the sample size drawn from larger area with small sample – should be rationale. Sentence formation also not proper way. Title of the paper is very lengthy and should be simple and short. The author has not mentioned the suggestions or recommendations. Overall the paper needs upto the mark however, can be published after rewrite the paper cross tables .</b></li> <li><b>Not suitable – Lengthy title – should be simple</b></li> <li><b>The author didn't the main aim of the study in abstract- sentence formation is also not properly undertaken.</b></li> <li><b>Ok</b></li> <li><b>Ok</b></li> <li><b>No need ok</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Poor quality of language.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Overall the paper is not upto the mark however, can be published after rewrite the paper cross tables	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Surapa Raju</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IDSAP, India</b>