

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116621
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Personal, Economic, communicational variables, Psychological Variable, Character of Livelihood Security of small and marginal farmers in Rewa block of Rewa District (M.P.)
Type of the Article	Empirical Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript presents farmer-related issues that are key to the success or otherwise of agricultural programme planning and implementation 2. Suggested title " Livelihood Security and Personal Attributes of small and marginal farmers in Rewa Block of Rewa District" 3. The aim of the study should begin the abstract. The statistical tools used for analysis were not mentioned. Also, the percentage values of the results should be included. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. The reference format is mixed up. The author should stick to APA 7th edition format 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author needs to revisit the result discussion and relate the discussions to livelihood security such that it shows the relationship between the personal attributes and livelihood security.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okoroma, Emmanuel O.
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria