

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_116823
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE PREVALENCE OF PRENATAL DEPRESSION AMONG ADOLESCENT MOTHERS SEEKING ANTE-NATAL CARE AT WAJIR COUNTY REFERRAL HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, add statement about depression like definition of prenatal depression, methodology used, objective and result. Finally, give discussion and recommendation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction part is not correct. In your introduction part should have to introduce about prenatal depression, prevalence, severity or incidence in the world or you country. Also show the gap you need to fill and objectives. You should have to support statement in the introduction by references. Manuscript is not scientifically correct. Introduction does not show /give us necessary background information about topic, methodology doesn't contain all important elements. goodness of fit of the model not use anywhere in the document but proposed to be used <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Almost all sentences under introduction part are not supported by references. Part of literature review and almost all ideas in the discussion not supported by references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, Needs language experts	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulfata Shafi Mohammednur
Department, University & Country	Werabe University, Ethiopia