

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS-85577
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGRATED FARMING SYSTEM FOR A SUSTAINABLE LIVELIHOOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> This section is well written according to the journal format, abstract writing rules and the purpose of the research.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Well organized according to the purpose of the research and the literature summary. However, it can be supported by new literature information in the world.</p> <p><b>Martials and Method:</b> The variables and methods discussed in this section should be explained. According to which variables the results of the study were given or explained, whether the method is suitable for this study, it would be more appropriate to reconsider the material and method in order to understand this.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> The research results given in this section have not been adequately discussed with the literature. The literature in this section is insufficient.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> This section is well written according to the research findings and the purpose of the research.</p> <p><b>Reference:</b> Current literature on the subject can be added.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The original value of the article will increase if the changes suggested by the referee are completed. If the proposed changes are made, it would be appropriate to publish them.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Celal Cevher</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Field Crops Central Researcher Institute, Turkey</b>