

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_117400
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTONEMATODES FOUND IN POTATO SOIL AND ITS ROOT SYSTEM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript itself is very valuable and addresses the problem of constraints of disease of crops. Plant parasitic nematodes (PPNs) cause significant yield reduction of agricultural products. The world population is drastically increased through the year that the crop production should be enhanced by increasing the productivity by reducing the loss of the product through pests and disease. But there are modifications and edits to the manuscript for further details.</p> <p>2. A crucial component of an article is the title. It draws readers in and condenses the content of the piece into a few words. An excellent title for a research article is one that succinctly and fully summarizes the research project in its entirety. Inappropriately represent the scientific content of the study and not informative, and particular. It is better to be "Determination/ assessment/ distribution/ prevalence of phytonematodes found in soil and root system of potato"</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It lacks the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used such as study design and sampling techniques, conclusion.</p> <p>4. Yes. Less or more it follows the guidelines of the authors.</p> <p>5. Yes however, it needs modifications and rewritings.</p> <p>6. No, there are some reference cited are too old that seems like mathematical formula and theory.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, There is ambiguity, miss spelling and punctuation in some parts of the manuscript.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title should be modified The abstract should be contain all parts of the study Material and method should be clearly stated in a procedural way. Conclusion has to be clearly described in consecutive statement. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Tsega Alene
Department, University & Country	Injibara University, Ethiopia