

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJHR_117519</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>In vivo studies on the effect of Warburgia ugandensis crude extracts against bacterial wilt in tomato</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes.</p> <p>Correct.</p> <p>Little ok. <b>It should be more relevant to work.</b></p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Sure.</p> <p>I strongly recommend that need to more work on the manuscript. Kindly it is requested to author's please made an authenticated data along with more relevant information and try to improve their findings with their content.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>  | <p>Manuscript "<b>In vivo studies on the effect of Warburgia ugandensis crude extracts against bacterial wilt in tomato</b>" seems a just piece of the work. However, English of the MS need to be polished and I also recommend adding recent references from 2020-2024 to the MS and link these new references to the respective sections of the MS.</p> <p>Let's break down the steps to enhance your manuscript:</p> <p><b>Polishing the English:</b></p> <p>To improve the language quality, consider hiring a professional editor or proofreader. They can help refine the grammar, syntax, and overall clarity of your manuscript to catch any errors or awkward phrasing.</p> <p><b>Adding Recent References:</b></p> <p>Incorporating up-to-date references is crucial for demonstrating the relevance of your work. Here's how you can do it:<br/>Search for Recent Studies: Look for scholarly articles, reviews, or meta-analyses related to your topic published between 2020 and 2024. Use academic databases like PubMed, Google Scholar, or Scopus.</p> <p><b>Evaluate the Relevance:</b></p> <p>Choose references that directly relate to your study. Consider their impact factor and credibility.</p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | <p><b>Cite Properly:</b></p> <p>When citing these new references, follow the citation style (e.g., APA, AMA) consistently throughout your manuscript.</p> <p><b>Link References to Sections:</b></p> <p>Integrate the new references into relevant sections (e.g., introduction, discussion, conclusion). Explain how they support your findings or arguments.</p> <p><b>Formatting and Style:</b></p> <p>Ensure that your manuscript adheres to the specific journal's guidelines (if you plan to submit it for publication). Pay attention to formatting, headings, and citation style. If you're unsure about the citation format, consult resources like the AMA Manual of Style<sup>1</sup> or the APA guidelines<sup>2</sup>. Remember, a well-written manuscript not only communicates your research effectively but also enhances its impact. Best of luck with your revisions!</p>  |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> | <p>It can only be accepted if the author can provide the proper justification/revise the manuscript as on the points mentioned below.</p> <p>Kindly elaborate the significance of addressing the ability to be used as antibacterial biocontrol against <i>R. solanacearum</i> and further research is important to determine the bioactive compounds against <i>R. solanacearum</i>.</p> <p>Certainly! Let's enhance your manuscript following the feedback you received:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Introduction Section:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Focus on relevant content in the introduction.</li><li>○ Clearly state the objective of your study.</li><li>○ Avoid repeating information from the abstract.</li></ul></li><li>2. Materials and Methods Section:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Update data related to others' work.</li><li>○ Include more recent data (beyond the last two to three years) to strengthen conclusions.</li></ul></li><li>3. Discussion Part:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Describe results thoroughly using analytical methods.</li><li>○ Compare findings with other studies.</li><li>○ Include figures at each growth step for clarity.</li></ul></li><li>4. Formatting and Style:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Use italics for scientific names and "et al."</li><li>○ Polish the English and grammar.</li><li>○ Update the reference section according to the journal format.</li></ul></li><li>5. Results:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Present results in picture or tabulated format.</li></ul></li></ol> |  |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Arti Gupta</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Sri Avadh Raj Singh Smarak Degree College, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, India</b> |