

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118376
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio-efficacy evaluation of herbicides for weed management in rabi onion
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is a good manuscript based on good research, written in a good English, and I think it is important for the scientific communities particularly in agricultural sciences. There are several notes I think important to improve the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The objectives of this research must be clearly declared in Abstract and/or in Introduction. 2. To increase the readability of treatment, it is important to include all treatments in one single table in Materials and Methods. 3. The way to determine these variables CRI, WPI, WMI, AMI, IWMI, WI, WCI, WCE, and HEI must be explained in Materials and Methods. 4. Enrich the Materials and Methods with the procedures in planting and maintenance, plant attribute observation, data statistical analysis, etc. 5. Each table must be referred to when it is used in the discussion (See the corrected manuscript please!). 6. Do not use words already used in title for keywords. 7. Reference is poor, involving only 17 publications, only 9 of which are current publications. Please enrich with enough relevant and current publications. 8. Please clearly mention country name India when mentioning the research location! 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kadir Salam
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia