

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAMMR_117759
Title of the Manuscript:	Damage control resuscitation: evidence-based systematic review for treating traumatized patients
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This study discusses damage control resuscitation in critical trauma patients. The main contribution of this article was to analyse concepts and updates of damage control Resuscitation and its relevance in critical trauma patients. Acute traumatic haemorrhage is one of the leading causes of this amongst younger people across the globe, damage control resuscitation focuses on homeostasis in trauma patients And utilizes different approaches and strategies to reverse the physiological consequences of hypothermia, coagulopathy and acidosis this article aims to analyse evidence based concepts of resuscitation In critical trauma patients and helps us optimize the resuscitation and avoid preventable deaths and complications The title of the article is suitable and the abstract is comprehensive Method: inclusion criteria is limited to open access articles that's my exclude important relevant studies and introduces potential bias More explanation is needed on introduction and discussion , it would be helpful to explain more about physiological consequences of the lethal triad and how they existing protocols where developed, The conclusion points can be presented in a more convincing informative way. The article is flawed by some grammar as in therapeutics in table 1.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoumeh Moallem
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University of Medical Science, Iran