

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_117331
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of the Interleukin-6 Polymorphism (-174) in the Saliva of Hemodialysis Patients
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is of potential importance to the scientific community, particularly to researchers and clinicians interested in chronic kidney disease (CKD) and its underlying genetic factors</p> <p>The title of the article, "Identification of Interleukin-6 (-174) Polymorphism in Saliva of Hemodialysis Patients," is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive. But Try summarizing the key findings in a clearer and more structured manner.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate.</p> <p>Based on the information provided, it seems that the manuscript adheres to scientific principles and methodology</p> <p>The provided references are quite diverse, and some of them are relatively recent, such as references number 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8. However, some references may be somewhat outdated, such as references number 6, 7, 9, and 10.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, overall, the language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Ifham Hanif
Department, University & Country	Badau Primary Health Care Center, Indonesia