

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117545
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantification of Microplastics in Otammiri River, Imo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. I read the complete articles and found that this article is scientifically important.</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b>, the title is better.</p> <p>3. <b>The abstract of articles is too concise. I suggest to extend it upto 200 words which must include background of study.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b>, the sections are appropriate.</p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b>, this article is scientifically correct. But in discussion portion I suggest to add a diagram which summarize the whole article.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient for publication.</p> <p>7. <b>I suggest to improve the quality, variety and design of graphs. Statistical analysis is required and also need to add alphabets describing the statistical difference between values. (If author not apply state on data and not show statistical difference between values then I refer you to not public this article because without statistics, this article is not sufficient for publication)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, English quality is good.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Mukheed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan</b>