

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJFAR_117628
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Performances of Heterobranchus longifilis Broodstock Cultured at Different Water Depths in Indoor Concrete Tanks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. It is useful for the scientific community and regions facing the scarcity of water.</p> <p>Reproductive performance of Heterobranchus longifilis brood stock cultured at different water depths in indoor concrete tanks</p> <p>No. Aims is incorrect. Check for grammatical errors and repetition of words. In the case of fish, the word larvae is Incorrect (hatchling is proper). In practice, the ratio of 2 males (weighing equal to 1 female):1 female is followed. However, here the ratio of 1 male:1 female is taken for experiments</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The level of significance has been mentioned as 0.00, which is incorrect</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanjeev S. Gandhewar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RTM Nagpur University, India</b>