

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJFAR_117628
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Performances of Heterobranchus longifilis Broodstock Cultured at Different Water Depths in Indoor Concrete Tanks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The MS illustrates on the optimum water depth required for catfish spawning in hatcheries. The scientific results presented in the paper is significant for a commercial catfish hatchery operation.</p> <p>No; Influence of tank water depths on reproductive performance of Catfish <i>Heterobranchus longifilis</i> (Valenciennes, 1840)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes; Discussion part to be more scientifically elaborated based on the findings</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Okay</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to be improved	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The findings are based on the stocking of 6 fish in each pond of 3 different water depths. The authors may clarify whether any replicates were maintained for the experiment? Was there any control group kept for better comparison of the results obtained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. C. Remya
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Aquaculture, India