

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJARR_118060
Title of the Manuscript:	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE STUDY IN SAMARINDA CITY, EAST KALIMANTAN, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a significant social issue, offers new insights, and contributes to the broader understanding of domestic violence in different cultural and geographical contexts. The title "Domestic Violence Study in Samarinda City, East Kalimantan, Indonesia" is clear and descriptive, indicating the focus and geographical scope of the study. However, it could be made more specific to reflect the key aspects of the research, such as the emphasis on psychological violence and family factors. <i>“Understanding Domestic Violence in Samarinda City, East Kalimantan: A Focus on Psychological Abuse and Family Dynamics”</i> The abstract is fairly comprehensive but can be improved with additional methodological details, specific findings, and implications to provide a more complete and informative overview of the study. Not good, restructure the manuscript to ensure the flow of idea. Manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its approach and findings. References are not adequate and recent. Explain the criteria used for the selection of respondents. How the researcher fixed the sample size of 180 Include a discussion part Ensure that the manuscript provides a comprehensive description of the research design, including participant selection, data collection methods, and analytical techniques. If possible, the manuscript should include triangulation of data sources or methods to enhance the reliability and validity of the findings. Acknowledging the study's limitations (e.g., potential biases, generalizability issues) is important for scientific accuracy and credibility. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the article is adequate for scholarly communication, but some refinements can enhance clarity and readability. Grammar check is suggested.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Nabeel. K
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India