

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJARR_118060
Title of the Manuscript:	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE STUDY IN SAMARINDA CITY, EAST KALIMANTAN, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This topic belongs to the social sciences. It is a very important topic, as the issue of Domestic Violence is prevalent even in this century, which is considered to be advanced. Women are educated, however, men also need to be appropriately educated to respect their female counterparts.</p> <p>The title is specific for a specific community, so there is no need for an alternative title</p> <p>The abstract appropriately explains what one would expect to read in the article. Appropriate key words.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate and they are structured in a proper sequence. There is just one thing, though – you must not write According to [1,2,3,4]. The correct manner is to expound the concept, issue, etc, and put the references at the end of the concept. I have corrected it.</p> <p>As mentioned, in the very beginning, the topic falls in the social sciences domain.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✚ References are wide-ranging and include many fields viz. psychology, law, social services, nursing/medical and women's issues. Practically all aspects have been adequately researched. ✚ Most reference are recent. Some older references could also have been used to indicate that the issue has been there from time immemorial – just a suggestion, not mandated. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language could be improved. I have edited it for grammar. In page 3, I have highlighted a sentence (3) the number of children. Both cases obtained are related to infertility problems. – the meaning is not clear Please either remove the sentence or clarify it further. Could be rephrased.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharati Peters
Department, University & Country	India