

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_118401
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Dynamics of Housing Demand Preferences in Ilorin, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The study contributes to the understanding of housing demand preferences in Ilorin, Nigeria, which can provide insights into urban development and housing policies in similar contexts</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Literature Review can be separated from the Introduction section. Also Methodology Section is missing from the manuscript. In manuscript section related to Aim, Objective and Scope of the study can be added for better clarity on the topic</p> <p>Yes, but it can add Data Analysis related to topic in better visual format like bar chart, maps etc can be very helpful for readers to understand effectively. The paper clearly identifies the research gap and states the objectives. This section could be strengthened by explicitly stating the research questions or hypotheses.</p> <p>Yes references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is good but Ensure consistent formatting throughout the paper, especially in headings and subheadings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend the paper for publication with minor revisions. The study addresses a significant topic with a well-executed methodology, and the findings contribute to the understanding of housing demand preferences in Ilorin, Nigeria. Addressing the suggestions above will enhance the clarity and impact of the paper.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darshankumar Patel
Department, University & Country	SVKM's Institute of Technology, India