

The study of genetic components in okra [(*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench]” crop

ABSTRACT

The present study of the genetic component in ~~okra~~-okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]” crop was conducted during ~~kharif~~-Kharif 2019 to ziad 2020 at the research farm of shri Durgaji ~~post~~-post-graduate college Chaneshwar Azamgarh U.P. with ten genotypes Azad Bhindi1, Azad Bhindi 2, Azad Bhindi 3, KS 312, VRO5, KS 442, KS 439, BO2, Arka Abhay and Prabhani Kranti along with 11 characters viz days to flowering, height of plant, number of branches per plant /main shoot, number of first fruiting node height of first fruiting node number of nodes per plant, length of internodes, length of fruit, width of fruits, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant-. ~~Genetic~~-The genetic component of variance showed significant values for additive components \hat{D} for all the characters in both the generations except the height of the plant and the number of branches in F_1 generation. The dominance components (\hat{H}) were also highly significant for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations except fruit yield per plant in F_2 population. The degree of dominance $(\hat{H}_1/D)^{0.5}$ showed ~~over~~ dominance for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations. The ratio ~~of~~ (\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2) showed less than unity for all the characters in both generations. Analysis of variance for combining ability showed highly significant values both for gca and sca variances ~~in~~ based on both ~~the~~ generations. However, the magnitude of the

~~gca-GCA~~ variance was more than ~~the~~ sca variance for all the characters in both F₁ and F₂ generations. Additive gene action was observed for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations.

Introduction

Bhindi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is an important vegetable crop ~~of-in~~ India. It belongs to the family Malvaceae and ~~having-has~~ chromosome number 2n = 130. It behaves ~~s~~ as often cross-pollinated crop although it is ~~a~~ potentially ~~ly self-self~~-pollinated crop, ~~with~~ 8.75 to 9.61 percent out-crossing (Purewal and Randhawa, 1947). Okra is believed to have originated in the Hindustani ~~centre-center~~ of origin. However, Ethiopia is also considered as its native place from where it disseminated into Arabia down ~~the~~ Nile Valley and was introduced in-to Europe by the Moors and further into Louisiana during the early 17th century by French colonists (Woodruff, 1927). Additional utilization of vegetables ~~s~~ in ~~the~~ human diet may be ~~ample-ample~~ nutritive value of food as they are profusely nutritive. It is a better source of vitamins ~~s~~ 'A', 'B', 'C', protein, and minerals (Choudhury *et al.*, 2021). (Singh *et al.*, 1974) ~~analysed-analyzed~~ fruits and reported, 6.60 to 10.40 percent crude ~~fibrefiber~~, 84.60 to 90.50 percent edible portion, 14.40 to 18.60 percent protein, and 8.20 to 9.16 percent ash of the total dry weight (Tanni *et al.*, 2019).

Okra is not only nutritive but has medicinal value also. The Ayurveda considered it as a ~~virilitiesvirility~~. The root powder of okra mixed with sugar is used for ~~the~~ treatment of *leucorrhoea* which proved to be ~~a~~ very effective remedy for this disease in women. People consume it for renal infections (i.e., stones in ~~the~~ kidney). Eating fresh raw fruits ~~as-in the~~ early morning nourishes the body and increases the semen content (Tanni *et al.*, 2020). Yawalkar (1965) reported it as an excellent source of iodine which helps in the control of goiter. Woodruff (1927) reported that mucilage from the stem and roots ~~are-is~~ used for cleaning ~~of~~-sugar cane juice in jaggery manufacturing in India. Fully ripened fruits and stems ~~s~~

containing crude fibre are used in paper making. Its ripe seeds are roasted, ground, and used as a substitute for coffee in Turkey (Mehta, 1959).

Material and Methods

The study of variability heritability and genetic advance in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus*(L.) Moench]” crop was conducted during kharif-Kharif 2019 to ziad 2020 at the research farm of shriDurgaji post-graduate college Chaneshwar Azamgarh U.P. with ten genotypes Azad Bhindi1, Azad Bhindi 2, Azad Bhindi 3, KS 312, VRO5, KS 442, KS 439, BO2, Arka Abhay and Prabhani Kranti along with 11 characters viz days to flowering, height of plant, number of branches per plant /main shoot, number of first fruiting node height of first fruiting node number of nodes per plant, length of internodes, length of fruit, width of fruits, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant The following statistics were also estimated for drawing the conclusion.

Results:

$(\hat{H}_1 / \hat{D})^{1/2}$ = Mean degree of dominance

$(\hat{H}_2 / 4\hat{H}_1)$ = Proportion of positive and negative effects of genes

in the Parents,

$\frac{4(\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} + \hat{F}}{4(\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} - \hat{F}}$ = It is used for F₁ generation and is also represented

by KD/KR, which is the proportion of dominant and recessive genes in parents; F being significantly different from zero,

$$\frac{[\frac{1}{4}(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\frac{1}{2})\hat{F}}{[\frac{1}{4}(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{2})\hat{F}} = \text{It is used for } F_2 \text{ generations}$$

(\hat{h}^2 / \hat{H}_2) = Gene group governing the character.

The estimated value of the additive (\hat{D}) component of variation representing the additive effect of genes was significant for all the characters in F₁ & F₂ generations except the height of the plant and the number of branches per plant in the F₁ generation. In the F₁ and F₂ generations the value of dominance variance (\hat{H}_1 & \hat{H}_2) were-was found highly significant for all the characters except fruit yield per plant in the F₂ generation. The value of the dominant component was also higher than the additive component for all the characters in both generations. The value of \hat{h}^2 component was found significant and positive for days to flowering, number of branches per plant, length of internode, and number of fruits per plant in F₁ generation only. The value of \hat{h}^2 was positive and non-non-significant for all remaining characters in both generations. The value of \hat{F} the component was found positive for characters number of branches per plant, number of nodes per plant, length of internode and length of fruit in F₁ and F₂ generations, height of plant and number of first fruiting node in F₁ and height of first fruiting node in F₂ generation only. Negative value of \hat{F} component was exhibited for the characters days to flowering, width of fruit, number of fruits per plant and fruit yield per plant in both the generations, height of first fruiting node in F₁ and height of plant and number of first fruiting node in F₂ generation only. The estimated value of the

expected environmental component (\hat{E}) was observed in positive and ~~non-non~~-significant responses for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations. The value of the average degree of dominance (\hat{H}_1/\hat{D})^{0.5} was observed more than unity for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations. The proportion of positive and negative alleles in parents denoted by ratio ($\hat{H}_2/4\hat{H}_1$) was less than the theoretical value of 0.25 for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations. The ratio of dominant and recessive genes in parents i.e. $[(4\hat{D}\hat{H})^{0.5} + F / (4\hat{D}\hat{H})^{0.5} - F]$ was recorded as less than unity for all the characters in both the generations except the number of branches per plant, number of nodes per plant, length of internode, and length of fruit in both the generations, height of plant and number of first fruiting node in F₁ and height of first fruiting node in F₂ generation only. The value of the ratio (\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2) was found less than unity for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations.

Discussion and conclusion

~~Genetic~~ The genetic component of variance showed significant values for additive components s (\hat{D}) for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations except the height of the plant and the number of branches in F₁ generation. The dominance components (\hat{H}) were also highly significant for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations except fruit yield per plant in F₂ population. The degree of dominance (\hat{H}_1/\hat{D})^{0.5} showed ~~over~~-dominance for all the characters in both ~~the~~ generations.

The ratio of dominance and recessive genes in parents was recorded as less than unity for all the characters in both the generations except number of branches per plant, number of nodes per plant, length of internode and length of fruit in both ~~the~~ generations, height of plant and number of first fruiting node in F₁ and height of first fruiting node in F₂

generation only. The ratio $\sigma^2(\hat{h}^2 / \hat{H}_2)$ showed less than unity for all the characters in both generations. Analysis of variance for combining ability showed highly significant values both for gca and sca variances in based on both the generations. However, the magnitude of the gea-GCA variance was more than the sca variance for all the characters in both F₁ and F₂ generations. Additive gene action was observed for all the characters in both the generations.

Table 1: Estimates of genetic components and related statistics for different characters under study in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Components	Generation	Characters										
		Days to flowering	Height of plant	Number of branches per plant/main shoot	Number of first fruiting node	Height of first fruiting node	Number of nodes per plant	Length of internode	Length of fruit	Width of fruit	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit yield per plant
\hat{D}	F1	13.27**	76.65	0.50	1.88**	8.57**	6.26**	4.11**	3.51**	0.11**	5.28**	414.50*
	SE±	1.98	45.13	0.06	0.29	0.83	1.99	1.19	1.18	0.03	1.53	188.11
	F2	13.26**	76.73*	0.51*	1.89**	8.53**	6.44**	4.11**	3.57**	0.12*	5.39**	7813.28**
	SE±	2.76	35.49	0.25	0.31	1.81	1.78	1.04	0.89	0.06	2.17	217.37
\hat{H}_1	F1	14.36**	275.35**	1.00**	2.78**	7.00**	14.99**	13.19**	8.33**	0.34**	10.46**	2347.17**
	SE±	4.22	96.06	0.13	0.62	1.77	4.23	2.54	2.50	0.06	3.26	400.41

	F2	110.20**	1474.63**	13.97**	16.11**	65.24**	107.74**	57.08**	36.63**	2.05**	74.73**	9601.53**
	SE±	23.53	302.21	2.15	2.63	14.40	15.12	8.86	7.57	0.47	18.48	1850.77
\hat{H}_2	F1	10.58**	200.85*	0.90**	2.32**	6.24**	9.61**	8.64**	5.44**	0.28**	6.94**	1834.37**
	SE±	3.59	71.64	0.11	0.53	1.50	3.60	2.16	2.13	0.05	2.77	340.31
	F2	103.86**	1280.70**	11.41**	14.36**	55.19**	103.45**	46.38**	26.59**	1.97**	65.73**	7813.28**
	SE±	20.00	256.84	1.83	2.24	13.09	12.85	7.53	6.44	0.40	15.71	1572.95
\hat{h}^2	F1	5.43*	-0.14	0.27**	0.07	1.83	-0.06	3.03*	0.30	0.01	3.82**	138.24
	SE±	2.140	54.65	0.07	0.35	1.01	2.41	1.44	1.42	0.03	1.85	227.79
	F2	5.23	22.46	0.05	0.50	3.75	0.05	0.25	0.51	0.00	2.71	50.02
	SE±	3.35	42.98	0.31	0.37	2.19	2.15	1.26	1.08	0.07	2.63	263.22
\hat{F}	F1	-6.31	14.62	0.02	1.15	-0.71	5.56	4.61	4.00	-0.02	-3.83	-212.09
	SE±	4.56	104.13	0.14	0.67	1.92	4.49	2.75	2.71	0.06	3.53	434.03
	F2	-7.43	-149.49	0.70	-0.83	8.11	0.73	5.17	8.08	-0.07	-6.00	-297.74
	SE±	12.75	163.79	1.16	1.43	8.34	8.20	4.80	4.14	0.26	10.02	1003.08

Contd....

Components	Genera- -tion	Days to flowering	Height of plant	Number of branches	Number of first fruiting	Height of first fruiting	Number of nodes	Length of internod	Length of fruit	Width of fruit	Number of fruits per	Fruit yield per plant
------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	-------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------

				per plant/ma in shoot	node	node	per plant	e			plant	
\hat{E}	F1	0.23	2.15	0.02	0.03	0.15	0.27	0.07	0.13	0.01	0.21	28.21
	SE±	0.60	13.61	0.02	0.09	0.25	0.60	0.36	0.35	0.01	0.16	56.72
	F2	0.31	2.06	0.02	0.02	0.19	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.09	18.09
	SE±	0.83	10.70	0.08	0.09	0.55	0.54	0.31	0.27	0.02	0.65	65.54
$(\hat{H}_1/\hat{D})^{1/2}$	F1	1.04	1.90	1.40	1.22	0.90	1.55	1.79	1.54	1.73	1.41	2.38
	F2	2.89	4.38	5.26	2.92	2.77	4.09	3.73	3.20	4.19	3.72	4.76
$(\frac{1}{4}\hat{H}_1/\hat{D})^{1/2}$	F1	0.52	0.95	0.70	0.61	0.45	0.77	0.89	0.77	0.86	0.70	1.19
	F2	1.44	2.19	2.63	1.46	1.38	2.04	1.86	1.60	2.09	2.86	2.38
$(\hat{H}_2/4\hat{H}_1)$	F1	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.20
	F2	0.24	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.20	0.18	0.24	0.22	0.20
$\frac{(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} + \hat{F}}{(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} - \hat{F}}$	F1	0.03	1.10	1.02	1.12	0.91	1.81	1.91	2.17	0.90	0.59	0.81
$\frac{\frac{1}{4}(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} + (\frac{1}{2})\hat{F}}{\frac{1}{4}(4\hat{D}\hat{H}_1)^{1/2} - (\frac{1}{2})\hat{F}}$	F2	0.82	0.64	1.30	0.86	1.42	1.03	1.41	2.11	0.87	0.74	0.97

\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2	F1	0.51	-0.0	0.30	0.03	0.29	-0.01	0.35	0.06	0.04	0.55	0.08
	F2	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.01
r	F1	-0.39	-0.14	-0.21	-0.58	-0.09	0.16	0.71	0.10	-0.30	0.23	0.39
	F2	0.26	0.24	-0.10	-0.44	0.33	-0.10	-0.21	0.36	0.55	-0.39	0.10

Note: * significant at a 5 per-cent level

** significant at 1 per-cent level

References :

Aksel, R. and Johnson, L. P. V. (1963). Analysis of diallel cross: A worked example. *Advancing Frontier Pl. Sci.*, 2 : 37-53.

Allard, R. W. (1956). Estimation of prepotency from lima bean diallel cross data. *Agron. J.*, 48 : 537-543 Comstock, R.E. and

Robinson, H.F. (1952). Estimation of average degree of dominance of genes. Heterosis Ed. G.W. Goowen, Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa, 494-516.

Choudhury, M.A.R.; Mondal, M.F.; Khan, A.U.; Hossain, M.S.; Azad, M.O.K.; Prodhan, M.D.H.; Uddain, J.; Rahman, M.S.; Ahmed, N.; Choi, K.Y.; and Naznin, M.T. (2021). Evaluation of Biological Approaches for Controlling Shoot and Fruit Borer (*Earias vitella* F.) of Okra Grown in Peri-Urban Area in Bangladesh. *Horticulturae*, 7(7): 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7010007>.

Das, A. K.; Mishra, S. N. and Mishra, R. S. (1996). Components of genetic variance and degree of dominance for yield contributing traits in okra. *Orrisa J. Horti.*, 24 (1/2), 18-20.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Left

- Gilbert, N. (1967). Additive combining abilities fitted to plant breeding data. *Biometrics*, 23 : 45-50.
- Hayman, B. I. (1956b). The analysis of variance of diallel tables. *Biometrics*, 10 : 235-244.
- Hayman, B.I. (1958). The separation of epistatic from additive and dominance variation in generation means. *Heredity*, 12 : 377-390.
- Hayman, B.I. and Mather, K. (1955). The description of gene interaction in continuous variation. *Biometrics*, 10 : 60-82.
- Indu Rani, C.; Veeraragavathatham, D. and Muthuvel, I. (2005). Genetics analysis in okra [*Abelmoschus, esculentus* (L.) Moench] and *Madras Agric. J.*, 89 (7-9): 427-429.
- Tanni, A.S., Maleque, M.A., Choudhury, M.A.R.; Khan, A.U. and Khan, U.H.S. (2020). Evaluation of promising exotic okra genotypes to select breeding materials for developing pest resistant high yielding okra variety. International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development: Road to SDGs, pp. 40, Bangladesh Agricultural Extension Society and Sylhet Agricultural University, January 23-24, 2020.
- Tanni, A.S.; Maleque, M.A.; Choudhury, M.A.R.; Khan, A.U.; and Khan, U.H.S. (2019). Evaluation of Promising Exotic Okra Genotypes to Select Breeding Materials for Developing Pest Resistant High Yielding Okra Variety. *Bangladesh J. Entom.*, 29(1): 17-26.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

