

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJA_117830
Title of the Manuscript:	Cyanobacterial Contributions on Agriculture– A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Cyanobacteria have the ability to improve soil resilience and agricultural sustainability through processes like bioremediation, nitrogen fixation, and carbon sequestration. To guide applied research, however, information gaps in the areas of soil ecology, plant-microbe interactions, and genetics must be filled. In order to improve soil health, productivity, and climate resilience, cross-disciplinary collaboration and locally appropriate solutions are needed to realize their full potential. In order to fully realize the promise of cyanobacteria for sustainable agriculture, more study on genetics, plant relationships, field performance, stress mechanisms, and formulations is necessary.</p> <p>2. No, Title need to be amended such as entitled "An Overview of Cyanobacterial Contributions to Agriculture"</p> <p>3. Revised Abstract, The abstract of the papers is not comprehensive and should be rewritten in accordance with the Journal guidelines requirement.</p> <p>4. Some problems remained unsolved in spite of the effective strategies used. Subheadings and the manuscript's arrangement make sense.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct but there is need to be well arranged scientifically. But if the author is using the most recent version of the APA reference style, the referring style is improper</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, though more information may be added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>With the exception of some minor punctuation errors and hanging lines</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NA</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Government College Bahadurgarh, India