Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Original Manuscript_ARJA_117830**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cyanobacterial Contributions on Agriculture– A Review**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. **The manuscript is important for scientific community, this paper reviewing the diverse agricultural applications of cyanobacteria for improving soil health, plant growth, and agricultural sustainability. This review highlights promising areas for cyanobacteria to promote agricultural sustainability while identifying knowledge gaps in genetics, plant-microbe interactions, and soil ecology that require further study. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for locally adapted, integrated solutions leveraging cyanobacteria's multifunctional traits. The authors concluded that further research on genetics, plant interactions, field performance, stress mechanisms and formulations can help utilize cyanobacteria's full potential for sustainable agriculture.** 2. **Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable.** 3. **The abstract of the article is comprehensive.** 4. **The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.** 5. **The text is clear and easy to read.** 6. **The list of references is large and recently.**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. **The English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.**	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dumitru Gabriela**
Department, University & Country	**Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania**