

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118043
Title of the Manuscript:	Print Media Coverage on the Environmental Issues: an Analysis on the Local Newspapers of Rangpur, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes, this manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses environmental issues and raises awareness. It contributes valuable insights to understanding the challenges facing our environment, highlighting the urgency for action. Its findings have the potential to drive further research and inform policy decisions aimed at addressing environmental concerns.</p> <p>2) The title "Print media coverage on Environmental issues" is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript on how print media addresses environmental concerns. It effectively conveys the subject matter to readers and indicates the scope of the study.</p> <p>3) To enhance comprehensiveness, the abstract could provide more specific details about the methodology, key findings and implications of the study. Additionally, incorporating concise summaries of the main perspectives presented in the manuscript would give reader a clearer understanding of its significance.</p> <p>4) The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate. However, it would benefit from the inclusion of graphs to accompany table, enhancing the clarity of the information presented. Additionally, ensure that the N value is mentioned for each table.</p> <p>5) Yes, up to my knowledge I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6) Yes, up to my knowledge all references are relevant to the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the article is good with regards of usage of language. But further improvements can be made in abstract section.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar T L
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, India