

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118343
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RISING POPULARITY OF ONLINE LENDING IN INDONESIA: WHAT'S THE SECRET THAT BANKS DON'T HAVE? (PUSH-PULL MOORING PERSPECTIVE)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but partly</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>On the basis of the quality of this Article it should be accepted for publication</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Accepted for publication</p> <p>This research proposes a research framework based on the Push Pull Mooring theory, so we can say it is important for Scientific Community.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>The researcher should include more literature review for study</p> <p>According to the evaluation of moderating effects by smart PLS model we can say that yes it is.</p> <p>Researcher should include more recent references and bibliography and sequence them year wise like 2011,2012,2013,2014,2015 etc.</p> <p>In this Article language quality suitable for scholarly communications</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Punit Kumar Kanujiya
Department, University & Country	National P.G. College An Autonomous College, Lucknow, University of Lucknow, India