

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_117403
Title of the Manuscript:	COMBINING ABILITY AND HETEROSIS IN MAIZE (Zea mays L.) INBRED LINES
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I think it is important. In ever changing climate, breeding of any important crop has to be continuous work. For this reason, testing, breeding, examining the ability of corn testers and lines are significant part of the breeding work for hybrid development.</p> <p>Why don't you add specific combining ability in the title. It is both about general and specific combining ability.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but please check English language and structure.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Reference is sufficient, but please make it in the same form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please review English quality of the article. I see some minor mistakes such as italics, capital letters, and commas and spelling errors. Names are also in a small letter, for example, Rabi and Kharif.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Maize is not short duration and high yielding crop in every part of the world. Please specify where it is a short duration and high yielding crop. In my opinion, details of the testers, lines and checks can be included in one table for ease of seeing. RH and BP are in a short form in the table. Explain the full word under the table. In heterosis part, please make one bracket starting from the old reference to the new. Please add table or data from heterosis. It is only mentioned in the result part without any data. Make the conclusion in a continuous form. Please check the reference part. It is not in the same form <p>To add heterosis data as it is mentioned in the result, to correct English, many but minor mistakes. Please review reference part. If possible make 200 words in abstract.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tungalag Munkhbat
Department, University & Country	Institute of Plant and Agricultural Sciences, Mongolia