

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JEAI_114250
Title of the Manuscript:	Report on Phytophthora sp. causing corm rot of colocasia (Colocasia esculenta L.) from Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I agree that manuscript highlight the significance of pathogenicity which affect the corms.</p> <p>The title is correctly formulated according to the manuscript. They can change to Invitro study on Phytophthora sp. causing corm rot of colocasia (Colocasia esculenta L.) from Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh)</p> <p>The abstract is constructive and highlights the core point of the research</p> <p>The subsections of the the article is lined up with the supporting photographs and it also emphasised the methodology which they followed throughout the research.</p> <p>I think the manuscript can be scientifically correct based on their supporting evidences and references.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and mostly recent articles were cited down.</p> <p>The articles explains about the study of post harvest fungal infection of corm sample from two different part of Andhra, the author can also add some other points from review articles in discussion from other similar articles and also discuss about other pathogen which affects corms and ways to prevent the infection post harvest .Highlight the benefits and purpose of this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes no grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well organized with font size , spacing and images are placed with equal spacing. Very appreciative.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sreevidhya
Department, University & Country	United States