

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118431
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of selected insecticides against tomato fruit borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner) in tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript provides useful data that contribute to the existing body of knowledge in the field. While the findings are not groundbreaking, the thorough methodology and clear presentation make it a valuable addition to the scientific literature.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract of the article is somewhat lacking in detail, failing to fully summarize the study's objectives, methods, and findings.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate but could be improved for better clarity and flow.</p> <p>Consider reorganizing some sections to enhance readability and ensure a more logical progression of ideas.</p> <p>To strengthen the paper, incorporating more relevant studies is recommended.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the article needs improvement to meet scholarly communication standards.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanka Choudhary
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India