

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116149
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality and nutrient uptake by chickpea(Cicer Arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes ! It is very useful and important because Chickpea (Cicer arietinum) is the most dominant pulse (Grain Legume crop)having a share of around 40 per cent in the general production .It is predominantly a crop of low rainfall areas but gives good yield under irrigated conditions also. Excessive rain soon after sowing or at flowering can harm the crop. It is a highly nutritious pulse and places third in the importance list of the food legumes . It contains 25% proteins, which is the maximum provided by any pulse.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes subsection titles are also appropriate.</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes all recent references are used in the paper</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes it is good English</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Good research</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sharada Patil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</b>