

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_117442
Title of the Manuscript:	CDA Formulations to the Rescue of Metastatic, Unresponsive and Recurrent Cancer Patients
Type of the Article	Commentary

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, emphasizing on the significance of targeting cancer stem cells and restoration of chemo-surveillance in treating cancer and preventing recurrence and metastasis.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, but it just needs rearranging of ideas.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent except for some references that are old and need to be replaced by more recent ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some abbreviations need to be explained further.</p> <p>Also, I think saying that cancer drug companies do not want patients to be fully cured as well as mentioning kings and presidents, etc makes the article more opinionated and the aim of the article is to demonstrate the points of arguments without any influence of personal opinions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asmaa Ali Mohamed Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Ain Sham University, Egypt