

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_117507</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The effect of an educational/preventive program on adolescents undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>As a reviewer, I found the manuscript good. With all due respect to the authors, I would like to give my suggestions for the betterment of the manuscript. This manuscript articulates research findings, methodologies, and interpretations in a structured and coherent manner. This clarity will aid other researchers in understanding the study, enabling them to build upon it or replicate the findings. The language can be improved and the manuscript can be made more interesting and enjoyable to read. Type of study can be included in title itself, as we provide the title in PICOT format. The plural form of index is indices, not indexes. Kindly correct it everywhere in the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Abstract-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract provides a concise summary of the entire manuscript, including the research question, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. If there is a single aim, kindly use singular form. Duration of the study is not mentioned.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Introduction-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The introduction provides sound background information on the topic, including relevant research and the rationale for the study.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Materials &amp; Methodology-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The methodology section should provide a clear and detailed description of the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques used in the study. Blinding technique can be included. Which questionnaire was used? Was it validated before? Pre and post photographs can also be provided for better understanding of the readers.</li> <li>Ensure that the methodology section is well-organized and easy to follow.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Results-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results section is presented in a clear and logical manner.</li> <li>Tables, graphs, and charts have been used appropriately to present the data visually.</li> <li>Make sure to report all relevant results, even if they do not support your hypotheses.</li> </ul> <p>Also try to find rationale behind your results.</p> </li> <li><b>Discussion-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It can be concised further.</li> <li>Some repetitions are seen. I would suggest to avoid simply re-stating the results; instead, focus on providing insight and analysis. Limitations and future scope should be included.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Conclusion-</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The conclusion summarizes the main findings of the study and reinforce their importance.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><b>Overall, each section is well-written, organized, and focused on conveying information clearly and effectively.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes Just kindly check the grammar.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Madhura Mahajan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Dental Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, India</b>