

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_116878
Title of the Manuscript:	Cowpea {Vigna unguiculata (L.)} productivity in the semi-arid region of Brazil.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. Suitable title should be “ Effect of plant density on yield and yield components of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) in the semi-arid region of Brazil.</p> <p>3. Abstract lack results of which density treatment gave the highest yield and it should be mentioned. Again the abstract should not be sub-divided into sections</p> <p>4. subsection and structure ok</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct but I suggest the author analyse the data using greater software like SAS etc for more stability of results. Key issue should mention the type of design used</p> <p>6. References ok, although I would prefer the style of alphabetical arrangement as used by many other scientific writings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English is fine	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In the table the author should consider showing the data for the various densities together with the yield components measured. This is important because the experiment was based on how the 5 densities influenced yield components and grain yield of cowpeas. In addition the author should justify the results by authors who found agreeing or not agreeing results when conducting similar experiment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Mashiqe
Department, University & Country	Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Botswana