

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_117990
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case report of a cardiac arrest under a total spinal anaesthesia.
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript titled "A Case report of a cardiac arrest under a total spinal anesthesia" appears to hold significant importance for the scientific and medical community like Documentation of Rare Complications, Clinical Significance, Educational Value and Guidance on Best Practices.</p> <p>2. The title of the article, "A Case report of a cardiac arrest under a total spinal anesthesia," is suitable from my point of view.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a basic overview of the case, but it could be improved to be more comprehensive by employing suitable risk stratification, meticulous monitoring, and a well-organized management strategy can lead to positive outcomes for these patients.</p> <p>4. The structure and subsections of the manuscript appear appropriate for a medical case report.</p> <p>5. The references in the manuscript are somewhat sufficient but can benefit from the addition of more recent and diverse sources to enhance the robustness and relevance of the literature review.</p> <p>6. The references in the manuscript are somewhat sufficient but can benefit from the addition of more recent and diverse sources to enhance the robustness and relevance of the literature review. Here are a few observations and suggestions: a) Current References. b) Recent Studies. C) Diversity of Sources. While the manuscript has a solid foundation of references, it would greatly benefit from the inclusion of more contemporary and varied sources. This will not only enhance the credibility of the work but also ensure it reflects the latest advancements and consensus in the field of anesthesiology.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is looks suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avinash Prakash
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India