

## Original Research Article

### **Effect of planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea Quality and Nutrient uptake of rice crop (*Oryza sativa* L.)**

#### **Abstract**

The present investigation entitled “Influence of planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea on productivity of rice crop (*Oryza sativa* L.)” was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm of Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Faizabad-224229 (U.P.) during *Kharif* seasons of 2022 and 2023. Fifteen treatment combinations comprised of three planting geometry C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm), C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm) and C<sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm) in main plot with five fertility levels F<sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF (150:60:40), F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and Panicle initiation stage)], F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and Panicle initiation stage)], F<sub>4</sub> [50% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and Panicle initiation stage)] and F<sub>5</sub> - Control [no fertilizers] were executed in split plot design keeping fertility levels in sub plot with three replications. The soil of experimental plot was silty loam in texture with low in organic carbon and nitrogen médium in phosphorus and high in potassium. The treatment combination C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) along with F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + two foliar applications of nano urea at 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle initiation stages)] demonstrated significantly elevated levels of quality and nutrient uptake, including protein content (%), yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), NPK content (%), and NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). This performance was comparable to the combination of C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) with F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + two foliar applications of nano urea at 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle initiation stages)], and notably surpassed other treatments. In summary, the utilization of C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) coupled with F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle initiation stages)] exhibited superior outcomes across all parameters of quality and nutrient absorption, leading to increased rice crop yield and profitability over both study years.

**Keyword:** Protein yield, nano urea and NPK uptake.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the prominent staple food for a large part of the world and belongs to the family Poaceae. In India, rice cultivation spans 450.57 lakh hectares, yielding around 122.27 million tons annually, with an average productivity of 2713 kg per hectare (Anonymous, 2021-22). Uttar Pradesh ranks as the second-largest rice-growing state after West Bengal, though its productivity remains comparatively low. With approximately 59.70 lakh hectares dedicated to rice cultivation, Uttar Pradesh yielded about 159.68 Lakh metric tons,

with a productivity of 26.75 quintals per hectare (Anonymous, April 2022). Rice cultivation occurs in various soil and climatic conditions, yet productivity levels in India lag behind those of many other countries. Thus, there exists significant potential for enhancing rice productivity within the country through the adoption of improved technologies and various interventions.

Planting geometry of a crop affects the interception of solar radiation, crop canopy coverage, dry matter accumulation and crop growth rate (Anwar *et al.*, 2011). The integration of planting geometry and inorganic fertilizer with nano urea fertilizer management presents an opportunity to enhance resource use efficiency, maximize yield potential, and mitigate environmental risks in rice cultivation.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The geographical location of the experimental site falls within the sub-tropical climate of the Indo-Gangetic plains (IGP), characterized by alluvial calcareous soil. The experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* seasons of 2022 and 2023 at the Agronomy Research Farm of Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology in Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.). The soil in the experimental field was classified as "silty loam," with low organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium phosphorus, and rich potassium levels. A Split Plot Design with three replications was employed for the experiment. The rice variety Sarjoo-52 was manually transplanted during the *Kharif* seasons of both years, on July 2, 2022, and July 13, 2023, respectively. The main plot consisted of three planting geometries: C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm), C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm), and C<sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm). The sub-plot treatments included five fertilizer levels: F<sub>1</sub> [100% RDF (150:60:40)], F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle Initiation stages)], F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle Initiation stages)], F<sub>4</sub> [50% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (during Tillering and Panicle Initiation stages)], and F<sub>5</sub> [Control (no fertilizers)].

### Nano Urea:

The nano urea, sourced from the Indian Farmers Fertilizer Cooperative Limited (IFFCO), was administered at a rate of 3000 ml per hectare, equivalent to 4 ml per liter, in two separate applications during the Tillering and Panicle Initiation stages.

### 2.1 NPK content in grain and straw:

Nitrogen content in grain and straw was analyzed by modified micro-Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1973) by digesting samples in sulphuric acid in a micro-Kjeldhal flask (digestion tube) on a hot plate. The distillation process was carried out using Nitrogen Analyzer (Gerhart) and titration was carried out using digital burette.

Phosphorus content in grain and straw was estimated by Vanadomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973) and the intensity of yellow colour was read with Spectro-photometer at 420 nm and the contents were expressed in terms of percentage phosphorus.

Estimation of potassium content in grain and straw by flame emission photometry method (Jackson, 1973) was used in di-acid digested samples and reported as percent potassium.

## 2.2 NPK uptake:

The nitrogen content in plants was determined by Kjeldahl's method. The grain straw was separated and then grinded. The grinded material was digested in concentrated sulphuric acid using copper sulphate and potassium sulphate mixture as catalyst. The digested material was then distilled with 40 per cent sodium hydroxide and distillate was collected in boric acid containing the mixed indicator. The content was estimated by titrating the distillate against N/20 sulphuric acid. The nitrogen uptake was calculated by multiplying the dry weight with nitrogen content. To get total uptake of nitrogen, the uptake values for grain and straw were added together.

Phosphorus uptake was determined in the extract by Vando molybdate yellow color method. The optical density (OD) was measured with photoelectric colorimeter at 470nm. The content was estimated with calibration curve. The phosphorous uptake by grain and straw per hectare was calculated with the help of per cent value of phosphorus and yield of grain and straw. To get uptake of phosphorous. The uptake value for grain and straw were added together plot wise.

The potassium content was determined with the help of flame photometer (Jackson, 1973) and was estimated with calibration curve. The uptake of potassium by rice grain and straw was calculated by multiplying their relative contents with yield and values were added to know the uptake of potassium in kg/ha.

Grain uptake (kg/ha) = Grain yield (q/ha) × Nutrient content (%) in grain

Straw uptake (kg/ha) = Straw yield (q/ha) × Nutrient content (%) in straw

## 2.3 Protein content in grain (%):

Protein content in rice grains was estimated separately by multiplying the nitrogen content of grain '6.25' as determined by modified Nessler's reagent method. The nitrogen content was multiplied by a factor of 6.25 (AOAC, 1970).

## 2.4 Protein yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>):

The protein yield of rice was calculated by multiplying the respective grain yield (kg/ha) with their protein content in grains divided by 100.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Nitrogen content in grain and straw of rice (%)

The data related to Nitrogen content in grain and straw of rice (%) been summarized in Table; 1, clearly indicated that planting geometry had non-significant effect on nitrogen content in grain and straw in rice during both the years of experiment. However, maximum nitrogen content 1.35 % and 1.40 % in grain and 0.48 % and 0.50 % in straw recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) during both the year 2022 and 2023. The minimum nitrogen content 1.20 % and 1.23% in grain and 0.40 % and 0.42 % in straw recorded under treatment C<sub>3</sub> (20 cm ×

20 cm) during both year 2022 and 2023. This could be attributed to increased N supply as well as better availability and activity of nutrients. Nitrogen content of rice was significantly affected by successive stages of plant growth. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

Among fertilizer levels, application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum nitrogen content in grain and straw i.e. 1.36 % and 1.41%, 0.49 % and 0.52 % during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application of F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)]. While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years. This might be due to the surge in N uptake with increasing supply of nitrogen might be due to escalation in growth as reflected in grain yields. Similar findings were also found by Anwar *et al.* (2017).

### 3.2 Nitrogen uptake by grain and straw of rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data related to nitrogen uptake by grain and straw in rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) been summarized in Table; 1.

Data further revealed that maximum nitrogen uptake by grain and straw 65.21 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 70.56 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by grain and 33.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 35.81 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by straw during 2022 and 2023 respectively recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) being at par with treatment C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm), which was significantly higher than rest of the treatment. This might be because dry matter increased in terms of grain and straw yields. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

Among fertilizer levels, application F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum nitrogen uptake in grain and straw i.e., 72.90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 78.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 35.82 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 39.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application of F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] and F<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF; 150:60:40). While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years.

This might be due to fact that application of more nitrogen in splits made quick availability to the crop for their uptake and larger surface area and nano scale particle size and increased number of particles per unit area of nano-fertilizer, which effectively enters through the stomatal openings of leaves and increase the nutrient use efficiency and nutrient uptake. The results are in proximity of Yadav *et al.* (2021), Rizwan *et al.* (2021) Sahu *et al.* (2022a),

### 3.3 Phosphorus content in grain and straw of rice (%)

The data related to phosphorus content in grain and straw of rice (%) been summarized in Table; 2, clearly indicated that the phosphorus content in grain and straw in rice was non-significantly influenced by planting geometry during both the years. However, the maximum phosphorus content 0.36 % and 0.40 % in grain and 0.19 % and 0.21 % in straw during

both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm). This might be because dry matter increased in terms of grain and straw yields. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

The data further revealed that the fertilizer levels had non-significant effect on phosphorus content in grain and straw. However, the maximum phosphorus content 0.37 % and 0.39 % in grain, 0.20 % and 0.23 % in straw recorded under application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively. The minimum phosphorus content 0.30 % and 0.32 % in grain, 0.14 % and 0.16 % in straw recorded under treatment F<sub>5</sub> (Control; no fertilizers) during both years respectively. Higher uptake was mainly due to synergistic effect of nitrogen levels and potassium at that combination. Nishanth and Biswas (2008) also reported similar types of responses.

### **3.4 Phosphorus uptake by grain and straw of rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The data related to phosphorus uptake by grain and straw in rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) been summarized in Table; 2. revealed that maximum phosphorus uptake by grain and straw 17.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 20.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by grain and 13.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 15.04 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by straw during 2022 and 2023 respectively recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) being at par with treatment C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm) which was significantly higher than rest of the treatments. This might be because dry matter increased in terms of grain and straw yields. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

Among fertilizer levels, application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>(Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum phosphorus uptake in grain and straw i.e., 19.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 21.61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in grain, 14.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 17.34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in straw during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application of F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] and F<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF; 150:60:40). While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years. This might be due to Increase in the uptake of phosphorus had significant impact by the application of nitrogen levels. Higher phosphorus uptake could be attributed to the higher availability of phosphorus in soil. These results were in conformity with the findings of Nishanth and Biswas (2008).

### **3.5 Potassium content in grain and straw of rice (%)**

The data related to potassium content in grain and straw of rice (%) been summarized in Table; 3, clearly indicated that the potassium content in grain and straw in rice non-significantly influenced by planting geometry during both the years. However, the maximum Potassium content 0.47 % and 0.50 % in grain and 1.33 % and 1.36 % in straw during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm). This might be because dry matter increased in terms of grain and straw yields. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

The data further revealed that the fertilizer levels had non-significant effect on Potassium content in grain and straw. However, the maximum Potassium content 0.49 % and 0.53 % in grain, 1.36 % and 1.39 % in straw recorded under application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively. The minimum Potassium content 0.42 % and 0.45 % in grain, 1.24 % and 1.27 % in straw recorded under treatment F<sub>5</sub> (Control; no fertilizers) during both years respectively. This can be attributed to the fact that specific effect of potassium is observed through the increased nitrogen utilization efficiency. The results are in conformity with work done by Thakuria and Choudary (1995).

### **3.6 Potassium uptake by grain and straw of rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The data related to potassium uptake by grain and straw in rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) been summarized in Table; 3. revealed that maximum potassium uptake by grain and straw 22.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by grain and 92.18 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 97.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> by straw during 2022 and 2023 respectively recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) being at par with treatment C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm) which was significantly higher than rest of the treatments. This might be because dry matter increased in terms of grain and straw yields. Similar findings were also found by Gunari *et al.* (2004) and Pal *et al.* (2005).

Among fertilizer levels, application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum potassium uptake in grain and straw i.e., 26.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 29.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in grain, 99.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 104.79 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in straw during both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application of F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] and F<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF; 150:60:40). While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years.

This can be attributed to the fact that specific effect of potassium is observed through the increased nitrogen utilization efficiency. The results are in conformity with work done by Thakuria and Choudary (1995).

### **3.7. Protein content in grain (%)**

The data related to protein content in grain (%) have been summarized in Table: 4, clearly indicated that planting geometry had non-significant effect on protein content (%) in grain during both the years of experiment. However, maximum protein content 8.44 % and 8.75 % in grain recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) during both the year 2022 and 2023. The minimum protein content 7.50 % and 7.69 % in grain recorded under treatment C<sub>3</sub> (20 cm × 20 cm) during both year 2022 and 2023. Similar findings were also found by Singh and Verma (2006), Dewedi *et al.*, (2006).

Among fertilizer levels, application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum protein content in grain i.e., 8.50 % and 8.81 % both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application of F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and

PI stage)] and F<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF; 150:60:40). While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years. This increment in protein content (%) might be due to increment in nitrogen content in grain and straw, as nitrogen (N) in vegetative organs (tillers and leaves). Similar findings were also found by Havlin *et al.* (2014), and Khanday *et al.* (2017).

### 3.8 Protein yield (Kg/ha)

The data related to protein yield (Kg/ha) have been summarized in Table: 4, clearly indicated that planting geometry had significant effect on protein yield (Kg/ha) during both the years of experiment clearly indicated that planting geometry had significant effect on protein yield in rice during both the years of experiment. The maximum protein yield 407.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> % and 441.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded under treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) being at par with C<sub>2</sub> (20 cm × 15 cm), during both year 2022 and 2023 which was significantly higher than rest of the treatments. Similar findings were also found by Singh and Verma (2006), Dewedi *et al.*, (2006).

Among fertilizer levels, application of F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] recorded significantly maximum protein yield i.e., 455.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 488.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> both the year 2022 and 2023 respectively which was at par with application F<sub>3</sub> [75% RDF + Two foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] and F<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF; 150:60:40). While significantly higher than the rest of the fertilizer levels during both years.

This increment in protein content (%) might be due to increment in nitrogen content in grain and straw, as nitrogen (N) in vegetative organs (tillers and leaves) resulted in higher protein yield. Similar findings were also found by Havlin *et al.* (2014), and Khanday *et al.* (2017).

### 4. Conclusion

From the above overall studies, it can be concluded that the treatment C<sub>1</sub> (20 cm × 10 cm) with the application of the F<sub>2</sub> [100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)] found better quality and nutrient uptake growth indices i.e., protein content (%), yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), NPK content (%) and NPK uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) during both the year 2022 and 2023.

### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. 1970. Official method of analysis. Association of official Agricultural chemists. 11th edition, *Prentice Hall of India*, Washington D.C.
- Anwar M.P., Juraimi A.S., Puteh A, Selamat A, Man A. and Hakim M.A.,2011. Seeding method and rate influence on weed suppression in aerobic rice. *African J Biotechnol* 10(68): 15259-15271. DOI: 10.5897/AJB11.060.

- Anonymous, 2021-22. Annual Report, Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi; p:4-5.
- Anonymous, April 2022. Department of Agriculture, National Conference on Kharif Crops, Government of Uttar Pradesh, p: 8-9.
- Anwar, S., Ullah, W., Islam, M., Shafi, M and Alamzeb, A.I.M. 2017. Effect of nitrogen rates and application times on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pure and Applied Biology*. 6 (3): 908-916.
- Dwivedi, A.P.; Dixit, R.S. and Singh, G.R. 2006. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Oryza*, 43 (1): 64-66.
- Gunri, S. K., Pal, S. K. and Choudhury, A. 2004. Effect of integrated nitrogen application and spacing on yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) in foot-hill soils of West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 49 (4): 248-250.
- Havlin, J.L., Tisdale, S.L., Nelson., W.L. and Beaton, J.D. 2014. Soil Fertility and Nutrient Management: An Introduction to Nutrient Management. 8th Ed. *Pearson, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey*. United States, 505 p.
- Jackson, M. L., 1973, Soil Chemical Analysis. *Prentice Hall of India Private Limited*, New Delhi.
- Jones J.M. and Sheats D.B., 2016. Consumer Trends in Grain Consumption. St. Catherine University., *St Paul, MN, USA. Elsevier Ltd.*
- Khanday, M.U., Ram, D., Ali, T., Mehra, S., Wani, S.A., Jan, R., Bhat, M.A. and Bhat, S.J.A. 2017.Strategy for optimization of higher productivity and quality in field crops through micronutrients: A Review. *Economic Affairs*. 62(1), 139-147.
- Leeper J. R., 2010. "Back to basics in improving yield by controlling weeds", *Rice Today* 9 (3): 12- 14.
- Ma, J. F., 2004. Role of Silicon in Enhancing the Resistance of Plants to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 50, p. 11-18.
- Miah M.H.N., Karim M.A., Rahman M.S. and Islam M.S.,1990. Performance of Nizersail mutants under different row spacing. *Bangladesh J. Train. Dev.* 3(2): 31-34.
- Nishanth, D. and Biswas, R., 2008, Kinetics of phosphorus and potassium release from rock phosphate and waste mica enriched compost and their effect on yield and

nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Bio Resource Tech.*, 99(1): 3342-3353.

Pal, S. K., Chowdhury, A. and Gunari, S. K. 2005. Effect of integrated nitrogen management and plant density on yield and nitrogen balance of rice under lowland situation. *Oryza*, 42 (1): 41-47.

Rizwan, M., Ali, S., Rehman, M.Z., Riaz, M., Adrees, M. and Hussain, A. 2021. Effects of nano particles on trace element uptake and toxicity in plants: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*.221:112437.

Sahu, K.B., Geet, S., Pandey, D., Keshry, P.K. and Chaure, N.K. 2022a. Effect of nitrogen management through Nano-fertilizer in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *International Journal of Chemical Research and Development* 2022. 4(1): 25-27.

Singh, S.R. and Verma, L.P. (2006). Effect of source and method of phosphorus application on growth and yield and protein content of transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Environ. And Ecology*. 24 (5): 315-319.

Thakuria, R. K. and Choudary, J. K., 1995, Effect of seed priority, potassium and anti-transparent on dry seeded rainfed abu rice. *Indian J. Agron.*, 40(3): 412-414.

Yadav, D.N., Kumar, R., Verma, A.K. and Kumar, P. 2021. Effect of foliar application of nanofertilizers on soil health and productivity in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *The Pharma Innovation J.* 10(12): 1263-1265.

**Table:1 Nitrogen content (%) and Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by different planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea.**

Treatments	Nitrogen content (%)				Nitrogen uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Grains		Straw		Grains		Straw	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
<b>(A) Planting geometry`</b>								
C <sub>1</sub> - 20 cm×10 cm	1.35	1.40	0.48	0.50	65.21	70.56	33.27	35.81
C <sub>2</sub> - 20 cm×15 cm	1.24	1.29	0.44	0.46	57.29	61.40	29.92	31.96
C <sub>3</sub> - 20 cm×20 cm	1.20	1.23	0.40	0.42	51.73	55.75	25.75	28.95
<b>SE(m)±</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>1.34</b>
<b>C.D. (P=0.05)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>8.54</b>	<b>9.31</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>3.97</b>
<b>(B) Fertilizers levels</b>								
F <sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF (150:60:40)	1.28	1.33	0.45	0.47	63.23	68.36	31.83	34.72
F <sub>2</sub> -100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	1.36	1.41	0.49	0.52	72.90	78.11	35.82	39.20
F <sub>3</sub> - 75% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	1.35	1.39	0.48	0.53	69.43	75.07	34.19	36.92
F <sub>4</sub> - 50% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	1.26	1.30	0.44	0.46	59.60	63.96	28.82	31.55
F <sub>5</sub> - Control (no fertilizers)	1.21	1.22	0.40	0.42	40.32	43.52	22.78	25.24
<b>SE(m)±</b>	<b>0.006</b>	<b>0.006</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>1.62</b>	<b>1.78</b>
<b>C.D. (P=0.05)</b>	<b>0.018</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>9.92</b>	<b>10.34</b>	<b>4.82</b>	<b>5.31</b>

**Table 2 Phosphorus content (%) and Phosphorus uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by different planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea.**

Treatments	Phosphorus content (%)				Phosphorus uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Grains		Straw		Grains		Straw	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
<b>(A) Planting geometry</b>								
C <sub>1</sub> - 20 cm×10 cm	0.36	0.40	0.19	0.21	17.39	20.16	13.17	15.04
C <sub>2</sub> - 20 cm×15 cm	0.32	0.35	0.16	0.18	14.78	16.66	10.88	12.51
C <sub>3</sub> - 20 cm×20 cm	0.30	0.34	0.15	0.17	12.72	15.16	9.20	11.18
<i>SE(m)±</i>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>0.94</b>	<b>1.02</b>
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<b>2.90</b>	<b>3.61</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>3.02</b>
<b>(B) Fertilizers levels</b>								
F <sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF (150:60:40)	0.34	0.36	0.17	0.19	16.80	18.50	12.03	14.04
F <sub>2</sub> -100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.37	0.39	0.20	0.23	19.83	21.61	14.62	17.34
F <sub>3</sub> - 75% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.35	0.38	0.19	0.21	18.27	20.67	13.53	15.51
F <sub>4</sub> - 50% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.33	0.36	0.16	0.18	15.61	17.71	10.48	12.34
F <sub>5</sub> - Control (no fertilizers)	0.30	0.32	0.14	0.16	10.08	11.23	7.78	9.39
<i>SE(m)±</i>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.16</b>
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>3.57</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>3.45</b>

**Table 3 Potassium content (%) and Potassium uptake (kg/ha) of rice as influenced by different planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea.**

Treatments	Potassium content (%)				Potassium uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	Grains		Straw		Grains		Straw	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
<b>(A) Planting geometry</b>								
C <sub>1</sub> - 20 cm×10 cm	0.47	0.50	1.33	1.36	22.70	25.20	92.18	97.39
C <sub>2</sub> - 20 cm×15 cm	0.44	0.47	1.28	1.31	20.33	22.37	87.03	91.02
C <sub>3</sub> - 20 cm×20 cm	0.42	0.45	1.25	1.28	17.81	20.07	76.63	84.21
<i>SE(m)±</i>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>2.24</b>
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<b>2.72</b>	<b>2.97</b>	<b>5.65</b>	<b>6.70</b>
<b>(B) Fertilizers levels</b>								
F <sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF (150:60:40)	0.46	0.49	1.31	1.34	22.72	25.19	92.67	99.00
F <sub>2</sub> -100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.49	0.53	1.36	1.39	26.26	29.36	99.43	104.79
F <sub>3</sub> - 75% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.48	0.51	1.33	1.36	25.06	27.74	94.74	100.42
F <sub>4</sub> - 50% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	0.45	0.48	1.29	1.32	21.29	23.62	84.51	90.53
F <sub>5</sub> - Control (no fertilizers)	0.42	0.45	1.24	1.27	14.11	15.80	68.89	74.54
<i>SE(m)±</i>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>1.24</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>2.47</b>
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<i>NS</i>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>6.94</b>	<b>7.38</b>

**Table :4 Protein content in grain (%) and Protein yield (Kg/ha) of rice as influenced by different planting geometry and inorganic fertilizers with nano urea.**

Treatments	Protein content in grain (%)		Protein yield (Kg/ha)	
	2022	2023	2022	2023
<b>(A) Planting geometry</b>				
C <sub>1</sub> - 20 cm×10 cm	8.44	8.75	407.65	441.00
C <sub>2</sub> - 20 cm×15 cm	7.75	8.06	358.05	383.66
C <sub>3</sub> - 20 cm×20 cm	7.50	7.69	318.00	342.97
<i>SE(m)±</i>	0.11	0.15	13.70	15.01
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	55.23	60.53
<b>(B) Fertilizers levels</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> - 100% RDF (150:60:40)	8.00	8.31	395.20	427.97
F <sub>2</sub> -100% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	8.50	8.81	455.60	488.07
F <sub>3</sub> - 75% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	8.31	8.63	433.78	469.47
F <sub>4</sub> - 50% RDF + foliar spray of nano urea @ 3000 ml ha <sup>-1</sup> (Tillering and PI stage)	7.88	8.13	372.72	400.00
F <sub>5</sub> - Control (no fertilizers)	7.56	7.63	254.02	267.81
<i>SE(m)±</i>	0.17	0.21	20.90	22.33
<i>C.D. (P=0.05)</i>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.62</b>	61.26	65.21

UNDER PEER REVIEW