

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117468
Title of the Manuscript:	Global challenges facing plant pathology: A review on multidisciplinary approaches to meet the food security
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>As an in-depth analysis on approaches to meet the food security from a multidisciplinary frame, I find the research very appropriate for the scientific community</p> <p>The title ties with the contents of the study</p> <p>I find no ambiguity in the abstract</p> <p>The different subsections articulate the thematic orientations of the study which I find appropriate with regards to the internal organization of the research</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically communicative targeting a wider readership</p> <p>The references are well chosen to buttress the discourse and justify the citations in the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is a succent display of a mastery of academic writing and a comprehensive style</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good research topic with an insightful perspective</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tangyie Evani
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology- The University of Dschang, Cameroon