

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_117467</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A review on Nanotechnology in food science: Functionality, applicability, and safety assessment</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes sure writing a manuscript, it is very important to have a correct and appropriate source of nutritional information. In the future, people will refer to these sources to search and find materials related to this issue or to do things in this regard, and the necessary information and They get enough for the development of science and industry.</p> <p>2. Evaluation of performance, application and safety of Nanotechnology in food science</p> <p>3. Yes. This abstract is very comprehensive and sufficient. Important points are mentioned in it and it gives the reader a general view of this work, while additional information is not included in this abstract, which makes the abstract much more readable.</p> <p>4. Subsections and linear structure are very suitable. The titles are well-explained and the contents are separated in the right place and written under the desired titles.</p> <p>5. This manuscript provides good information to the reader.</p> <p>6. The sources are sufficient and are mentioned in the text at the right place.</p> <p>The key words of this article are clear and show the main purpose and purpose of this article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Absolutely	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>These days, with the increasing spread of nanotechnology in all fields and industries, it is very useful to examine this issue in the food industry. Different sections of this article have well explained the focus of the issue, the problems, and the advantages and disadvantages of this technology in the food industry.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahra Safdarian
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran