

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_117453
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION COFFICIENT AND PATH ANALYSIS OF YIELD AND IT'S COMPONENTS ANALYSIS IN PUMPKIN (Cucurbita moschata Duch ex. Poir)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The manuscript is important because it highlights the important of pumpkin fruits which is useful because nutrients and antioxidants may boost the people immune system as well other benefits. 2- Yes. 3- Yes, but needs some modification. 4- Yes. 5- Yes, but it needs some modifications. 6- Yes. 7- Additional comments: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- I highly recommended adding the country or the city name to the title. 2- Abstract, Line no (9) Flesh thickness: you are talking about agricultural crop, what you mean by flesh? Please check. 3- Abstract, Line no (11) till the end rephrase please. 4- Introduction, Line no (6) add the importance of Pumpkin has more..... 5- Page (2), Line no (4): Pumpkin is composed of <i>Cucurbita moschata</i>, <i>Cucurbita pepo</i>, <i>Cucurbita maxima</i>, <i>Cucúrbita mixta</i>, <i>Cucurbita facifola</i> and <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i>? What are these names please elucidating? 6- Page (2), Line no (5): reference caili et al, 2006, not in the list of reference. 7- Is the pumpkin common or rare in India? Please explain. 8- No literature reviews in the introduction please add some. 9- I highly recommended of add a map shows the cities that farm the Pumpkin. 10- Materials and Methods: please add a reference. 11- Page (3), Line no (9): The experiment was down in 4 March, 2021.Why the author/authors select this date? Please elucidate. 12- Page (3), Result and discussion last line: what you mean by flesh thickness? And how can you explain “where negative and significant”? 13- Page (4), you must refer to the table in the text of the research. 14- Page (4), table (1) needs to be clarify. And could you explain the names “titles” of first row 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>and first column are the same?</p> <p>15- Page (5), line (4): Reference Mohanty, 2001, not in the list of reference.</p> <p>16- Page (5), line (9): average fruit weight (rp=-0.464) and no. please check.</p> <p>17- Page (5), line (16): What you mean by flesh thickness?</p> <p>18- Page (6), you must refer to the table no. (2) in the text of the research.</p> <p>19- Page (9) and (10), table (3) and table (4) needs to be clarifying. And elucidate if there were any relation between them.</p> <p>20- Page (11), Conclusion: I highly recommended whenever you mention “correlation with number” to add number to the text with explanations to it.</p> <p>21- The author/authors did not documented the manuscript with photos which is very important issue therefore I highly recommended support the study with photos.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeyad Jameel Al-Saedi
Department, University & Country	Iraq