

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAMMR_117904
Title of the Manuscript:	Chest X-Ray Findings in Adult HIV/AIDS Patients Co - Infected with Pulmonary Tuberculosis At The University Of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It could be, but several points must be modified and cleared before I fully decide.</p> <p>No. I will suggest 'Chest X-ray findings of Pulmonary Tuberculosis in treatment naïve HIV-infected patients at the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu State, Nigeria: Cross-sectional study'</p> <p>No, the Method and result sections need some clarity or notes to be added</p> <p>Not exactly, though there is an effort to address most in certain sections</p> <p>No.</p> <p>Yes (majority are from 2010 to 2022)</p> <p>It would be appreciated to mention the diagnostic challenges of pulmonary TB in this group of patients and the available gold standard and alternative diagnostic modalities. Though it is mentioned that the sputum tests were recorded in a single sentence in the methodology section, there is no trial to correlate or present it with this imaging data.</p> <p>It would be appropriate to illustrate the impact of infectious and non-infectious respiratory/pulmonary disease on CXR findings of these clinically diagnosed TB WITHOUT comparison with PREVIOUS CXR.</p> <p>Methods: why prospective cross-sectional if the aim was to compare the two groups (and use a more descriptive one)? Reading the word 'Control' in the discussion section is confusing.</p> <p>It would have been better to focus on one of the groups to clearly show an integrated diagnostic workup/clinical data with clinical course with or without optimal treatment. Including immunologic or virological status data, previous pulmonary disease, or exposure data with an optimal investigation of other opportunistic infections. The entry is merely based on referrals from the mentioned clinics</p> <p>There is no adequate evidence from this study's findings to strongly infer or conclude the cell-mediated immune response or status for difference in the CXR findings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, fairly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andargew Yohannes Ashamo
Department, University & Country	Saint Paul Hospital Millenium Medical College and Kidus Petros Hospital, Ethiopia