

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117624
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Anticancer, Anthelmintic, Anti-nociceptive, Antidiabetic and Toxicological Investigation of Ludwigia adscendens
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Page no 1, line 14-15 you mentioned for acute toxicity studies dose is 3000, 5000, and 7000mg/kg where as in page 223 page no 6 you mentioned 1000, 2000 Or 3000mg/kg: both are different check it once. Pls check when you are writing and, you don't need to put comma before and.. check page 3 line 92, 117, and page no 4, line 126.. Page no 2 line 80, pls check 12- to 15-, just 12 to 15 is enough. Page 4 line 123, mice of 123 and 125g is not correct may be 123 to 125g mice Page no 6, line 200, Why you choose glibenclamide for alloxan rather than metformin? Page no 12, line 289 what is MEPH is it MELA, Pls check it once. Page no 13, line 309 graph was not showing any mean deviation? (small bar above the graph) Pls check it? Page no 16, from line 400 to 454 you wrote in only 1 paragraph. Try to make it short in next paper. Page on 18, line 479 References should be in one format and adjust up to the margin of the page. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anuradha. S
Department, University & Country	Chaitanya College of Pharmacy Education & Research, India