

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Original Manuscript_AJESS_117637 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of Student Satisfaction with Islamic Education Management Department Services at State Islamic University of Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Indonesia |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>Positive aspects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language (English) is good. The literature review is good. Structure of the article is good <p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Article has to be supported by figures (number of students interviewed in percentage). The word 'Analysis' in the title will be meaningful only when the data is presented and analyzed. 'Students' Satisfaction would be more apt than 'Student satisfaction' Graphs could be inserted to get clarity on each item of discussion. The results and discussion seem to be more narrative/suggestive than clear outcomes of the survey. The year 2023 marks an important point – Why 2023 is important? 4.2 Identify factors could be 'Identified factors' Since the article is confined to only one Institution – similar situation in other Higher Education Institution (HEI) could be thought of! | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | 7. How does this Minority Institution prepares the students to face the challenges of the world (of Non-Minority) In my opinion, the article has to be re-worked or polished before publication. | |
|--|---|--|

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| Name: | Loyola Pvl |
| Department, University & Country | India |