

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology |
| Manuscript Number: | Original Manuscript_AJEE_117799 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Farming in the Age of Climate Change: A Brief Review |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The review article is important for the scientific community. I have outlined my comments for the author below:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript would be clearer if the author explicitly labeled the sections for the literature review and discussion. This helps the reader easily identify the key components of the paper. The introduction and conclusions are stated clearly. • It is also better if the author discusses the significance of his study • The references provided in the article appear sufficient for a review paper. However, it would strengthen the manuscript if the authors incorporated more recent and relevant literature to support their analysis and conclusions. • Incorporating the latest research in the field would help ensure the review is comprehensive and up-to-date. • Proofreading is required | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes. But proofreading is required | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name: | Olani Bekele Sakilu |
| Department, University & Country | Jiangsu University, China |