

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JSRR_117912
Title of the Manuscript:	A systematic review and conceptual framework for Natural Resource performance management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Reviewer's Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> There is need for index citation in line with global best practices The paper lacks some basic structures of a research work. It only contains introduction and conclusion. Features such as objectives, Research Questions, Hypothesis, methodology, Literature Review, Findings and recommendations are not reflected in the paper. There is need for each of the structures listed above to be present in a good research paper. The paper needs to be put in the proper perspectives The conceptual framework of the paper should be stated and demonstrated in a diagram. Tenses such as has or have should be put in order. The paper should have specific focus on linking natural resource performance management with the conceptual framework of the study. Let the line of their relationship be well defined in the paper. General editing and alignment of the paper in line with global best practices of a research paper. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wada Enejo
Department, University & Country	Nigeria